March 29, 2022

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA 2 ATLANTA DIVISION 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CIVIL ACTION 5 Plaintiff,)NO. 1:16-cv-03088-ELR 6 vs. 7 STATE OF GEORGIA, 8 Defendants. 9 10 11 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF 12 DIMPLE DESAI 13 14 Tuesday, March 29, 2022, 9:16 a.m., EST 15 16 17 18 19 20 HELD AT: 21 Robbins Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC 500 14th Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30318 22 23 24 WANDA L. ROBINSON, CRR, CCR, No. B-1973 Certified Shorthand Reporter/Notary Public 25



March 29, 2022

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
Appearing on Behalf of the Plaintiff:
PATRICK M. HOLKINS, ESQUIRE
FRANCES S. COHEN, ESQUIRE U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20579 T: 202.305.6630 F:
E-mail: Patrick.Holkins@usdoj.gov Frances.Cohen@usdoj.gov
Frances.coneneusdoj.gov
- and -
AILEEN BELL HUGHES, ESQUIRE (Via Zoom) Assistant United States Attorney
600 U.S. Courthouse 75 Ted Turner Drive SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30303 T: 404.581.6000 F: 404.581.6181
E-mail: Aileen.bell.hughes@usddoj.gov
Appearing on Behalf of the Defendant:
DANIELLE HERNANDEZ, ESQUIRE
MELANIE JOHNSON, ESQUIRE Robbins Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30318
T: 404.856.3261 E-mail: dhernandez@robbinsfirm.com
mjohnson@robbinsfirm.com



March 29, 2022

ALSO PRESENT: VIA ZOOM: RENEE WOHLENHAUS, ESQUIRE CLAIRE CHEVRIER, ESQUIRE ANDREA HAMILTON, ESQUIRE LAURA CASSIDY TAYLOE, ESQUIRE ROBERT PUTNAM, Expert for Plaintiff SANDRA LeVERT, Paralegal VICTORIA LILL, Paralegal ALSO PRESENT: BRANDON BRANTLEY, Videographer



March 29, 2022

1			INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS	
2				
3	DIMPLE D	ESAI		
4	By Mr. H	olki	ins	age 8
5				
6				
7			INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
8	PLAINTIF	F'S		
9	NO.		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
10	Exhibit	42	Notice of Deposition of Dimple Desa	i 9
11	Exhibit	43	State of Georgia, Department of	52
12			Behavioral Health Development Disabilities Contract," "DBHDD -	
13			Georgia State University FY2020 Center of Excellence." GA00661708	
14	Exhibit	44	2 ,	58
15			McKay To Recipients GA00152174	
16	Exhibit	45	COE Scope of Work Status Review-	61
17			Products Last updated: 1/19/21 GA00152179 - GA00152182	
18	Exhibit	46	GNETS FY18 Grant Application	126
19			GA00789946	
20	Exhibit	47	6/11/2020 Email From Dimple Desai To Dante McKay and Recipients	162
21			GA00146556	
22	Exhibit	48	Georgia Apex Monthly Programmatic Report	164
23			GA00146557 - GA00146559	
24	Exhibit	49	Georgia APEX - School-Based Mental Health Monthly Progress Report	166
25			GA00146562 - GA00146570	



DIMPLE DESAI March 29, 2022 UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA 5

		1
	INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)	
PLAINTIFF'S		
NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Exhibit 50		198
	GA001482744	
Exhibit 51		210
	GA00129400	
Exhibit 52		212
	GA00150297 - GA00150297	
Exhibit 53		213
	GA00667126	
Exhibit 54	-	215
	With Attachment	
	NO. Exhibit 50 Exhibit 51 Exhibit 52 Exhibit 53	PLAINTIFF'S NO. DESCRIPTION Exhibit 50 6/30/2020 Email From Dimple Desai To McKay and Recipients GA001482744 Exhibit 51 9/25/2020 Email Appointment To Dimple Desai, et al. GA00129400 Exhibit 52 8/24/2020 Email From Dimple Desai To McKay and Recipients GA00150297 - GA00150297 Exhibit 53 4/10 2020 Email From Dimple Desai To Recipients GA00667126 Exhibit 54 5/28/2020 Email From Dante McKay To Dimple Desai and Recipients



DIMPLE DESAI March 29, 2022 UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA 6

1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Previously Marked)
2	PLAINTIFF'S		
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit 20	Georgia Apex Program (Apex) GA00130192 - GA00130195	133
5	Evhibit 22	The Georgia Apex Program Annual	149
6	EATTOIC 22	Evaluation Results July 2019 - June 2020	110
7		GA01749707	
8	Evhibit 20	5/27/2016 Email Chain From Dante	96
9	EXIIIDIC 25	McKay To Nakeba Rahming GA00581815 - GA00581817	50
10		GA00301013 GA00301017	
11	Exhibit 39	APEX Monthly Programmatic Report GA001483745	200
12	Evhibit 40	Provider Reports	202
13	EXIIDIC 10	GA01482779	202
14	Exhibit 41	Spreadsheet - Provider Reports GA01482780	206
15		6110 1 10 2 7 0 0	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	İ		I .



1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This will be the video
2	deposition of Dimple Desai, being taken in the
3	matter of United States of America versus State
4	of Georgia.
5	Today's date is March 29th, 2022. The
6	time on the record is 9:16 a.m.
7	My name is Brandon Brantley. I'm the
8	videographer.
9	Wanda Robinson is the court reporter.
10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves for
11	the record, after which the court reporter will
12	swear in the witness.
13	MR. HOLKINS: Patrick Holkins for the
14	United States.
15	MS. COHEN: Frances Cohen for the United
16	States.
17	MS. JOHNSON: Melanie Johnson for the
18	State of Georgia.
19	MS. HERNANDEZ: Danielle Hernandez for
20	State of Georgia.
21	
22	DIMPLE DESAI,
23	being duly sworn, was examined and testified as
24	follows:
25	



1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. HOLKINS:
3	Q Good morning.
4	A Good morning.
5	Q My name is Patrick Holkins. I represent
6	the United States in this litigation.
7	Ms. Desai, could you please state and
8	spell your full name for the record.
9	A Dimple Desai, D-I-M-P-L-E, D-E-S-A-I.
10	Q So I'm just going to walk through some
11	instructions before we get started with the
12	questions.
13	The first is that I plan to take a break
14	at least every hour and a half, but if you would
15	like to take a break before then, just let me know
16	and we can.
17	MR. HOLKINS: That goes to counsel as
18	well.
19	Q I would ask, though, if there is a
20	question that's pending that you first answer the
21	question before we take a break.
22	Is that okay?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Just so you're aware, I think you are
25	already, this deposition is being recorded by video



it's also being transcribed. 1 2 For clarity of the record, particularly 3 for our court reporter, it's quite helpful if you 4 can speak clearly, speak loudly, and also wait for me to finish my questions before you start your 5 6 answer. 7 Is that all right? 8 Α Yes. 9 0 And similarly, for the clarity of the 10 record, it's best not to use responses like uh-huh 11 or uh-uh. Instead, use yeses or nos. 12 Is that all right? 13 Α Yes. 14 0 Okay. 15 MR. HOLKINS: I'd like to introduce the 16 first exhibit. This is going to be 42. (WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-42 was 17 18 marked for identification.) 19 BY MR. HOLKINS: 20 Ms. Desai, you've just been handed what is marked Exhibit 42. Please take a moment to review 21 22 the document. You don't need to read it line for 23 line but just to familiarize yourself with the 24 document, and let me know when you're finished. 25 Α Okay.



1		(Witness reviews exhibit.)
2		MS. COHEN: I have a request from the
3	pean	ut gallery to turn your camera on.
4		MR. HOLKINS: Request granted.
5		MS. COHEN: It came from your fan club.
6		MR. HOLKINS: I'm not sure about that.
7	A	I've read it.
8	Q	For the record, this is the notice of your
9	depositio	n in this matter.
10		Have you seen this document before today?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And who showed you this document?
13	A	Melanie Johnson shared shared a copy
14	with me.	
15	Q	Before today, had you heard about this
16	case?	
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And what did you what's your
19	understan	ding of what this case is about?
20	A	Um, my understanding is that there are two
21	lawsuits	
22		MS. COHEN: I'm sorry. Can you speak up?
23		THE WITNESS: Sure.
24		MS. COHEN: Thank you.
25	A	My understanding is there are two



lawsuits. I am here for the lawsuit that involves 1 2 So not the class action lawsuit. 3 And it is my understanding that there is 4 some question in regard to the GNETS program that 5 has been implemented across the State and I think its adherence to some of the -- I don't know what 6 7 the legal term is -- the statutes or some of the 8 reasons for why the initiative was put into place. 9 0 Okay. Thank you. 10 What is your understanding of the GNETS 11 program? 12 Α My understanding --13 Can I have a tissue? THE WITNESS: 14 MS. JOHNSON: Sure. 15 Sorry about that. 16 My understanding of the GNETS program is Α 17 that it is -- it's a program placed in, in what is 18 -- what are alternative school settings, and it is 19 meant for individuals who have higher needs of 20 behavioral emotional -- behavioral emotional needs, 21 and what is not being -- needs that are not being 22 met in, let's say, traditional school settings. 23 by traditional, I mean public, public school 24 settings that are sort of more mainstream.

And this initiative was meant for students



- 1 who had these needs, whose needs were not met in
- 2 | that school setting but didn't quite meet higher,
- 3 | higher level interventions, such as full-time
- 4 residential placement.
- 5 Q Ms. Desai, are you aware that Dante McKay
- 6 was deposed previously in this matter?
- 7 A I am.
- 8 Q Did you review the transcript of his
- 9 deposition?
- 10 A I did not.
- 11 Q Are you also aware that Dr. Stephanie
- 12 | Pearson was deposed yesterday in this matter?
- 13 A I knew that she was going to be deposed.
- 14 | I didn't know the exact date.
- 15 Q Ms. Desai, do you understanding your
- 16 | testimony today is under oath?
- 17 | A I do.
- 18 Q Is there any reason at all why you cannot
- 19 testify accurately and truthfully today?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Are you taking any medication or other
- 22 substances that would interfere with your ability to
- 23 answer my questions fully and truthfully today?
- 24 A I am not.
- 25 Q Ms. Desai, have you ever been deposed



1	before?
2	A No.
3	Q Have you ever been a plaintiff or a
4	defendant in a lawsuit?
5	A No.
6	Q I may be using some acronyms today, just
7	for brevity, and I want to run through them so that
8	we're on the same page.
9	The first is "DBHDD." When I use that
10	acronym, will you understand I'm referring to the
11	Georgia Department of Behavioral Health and
12	Developmental Disabilities?
13	A Yes.
14	Q When I use the acronym "DCH," will you
15	understand that I'm referring to the Georgia
16	Department of Community Health?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And when I use the acronym "Georgia DOE,"
19	will you understand I'm referring to the Georgia
20	Department of Education?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And when I use the acronym "CMO," will you
23	understand that I'm referring to Care Management
24	Organizations?
25	A Yes.



1	Q	When I use the acronym "SED," will you
2	understand	d that I'm referring to Serious Emotional
3	Disturband	ces?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	I may on occasion refer to general
6	education	settings. And when I say that, I'm
7	referring	to public schools in Georgia where
8	children v	with SED and other behavioral health
9	conditions	s receive instruction and services
10	alongside	children who do not have disabilities.
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Do you understand?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	When I refer to GNETS, that means Georgia
15	Network fo	or Educational and Therapeutic Support. Do
16	you unders	stand?
17	A	I do.
18	Q	And when I refer to "OCYF," that means the
19	Office of	Children, Young Adults and Families.
20		Do you understand?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	And when I refer to "COE," that means the
23	Georgia St	tate University Center of Excellence?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	And lastly, when I refer to "CSB," that



March 29, 2022

1	means Comm	munity Service Board.
2		Do you understand?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	I'd like to talk a little bit about your
5	background	d. Let me just start with your education.
6		What's the highest degree that you've
7	obtained,	Ms. Desai?
8	A	I have a Master's in social work.
9	Q	When did you receive that degree?
10	A	I completed the degree in 2002.
11	Q	And where did you obtain it from?
12	А	Georgia State University.
13	Q	You maintain an active license as a social
14	worker?	
15	A	I do not.
16	Q	Where do you currently work?
17	A	At the Center of Excellence for Children's
18	Behaviora	l Health at Georgia State.
19	Q	What is your job title at the Center of
20	Excellence	<u> </u>
21	A	Senior Research Associate.
22	Q	When did you become the Senior Research
23	Associate	at the Center of Excellence?
24	А	I believe that was in 2019.
25	Q	Did you hold previous titles at the Center



March 29, 2022

1	of Excellence?
2	A Research Associate II.
3	Q Any other?
4	A No.
5	Q And when did you become A Research
6	Associate II?
7	A 2017.
8	Q What job did you have immediately prior to
9	that?
10	A I actually was a stay-at-home mom for
11	about 12 years in between. In that time I
12	co-founded a nonprofit, which I continue to work
13	with.
14	Previous to that, my formal employment was
15	with OCYF. Sorry. That's not accurate. What is
16	it? ICF, ICF. It's a public health consulting
17	firm.
18	Q What's the name of the nonprofit that you
19	formed?
20	A ECHHA, E-C-H-H-A. It's an acronym and it
21	stands for the Hindu
22	Q It's okay if you don't remember.
23	A East Cobb Hindu Heritage Association.
24	Q That's great.
25	А ЕСННА.



- And it's still active? 0
- 2 Α It is.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 0 That's wonderful.

Ms. Desai, can you describe your current duties at the Center of Excellence?

Within the COE there are several Α Sure. arms of work, and I sit within the evaluation portfolio of work. And within that I direct a lot of the projects that are within school-based mental health services, behavioral health services. within that body of work I manage currently two to three projects that are school-based initiatives.

0 And what are those projects?

Currently, Georgia Apex program is one of Α them, and with that particular project I direct -it's one team but two scopes of work, and that is directing, monitoring evaluation activities and delivering technical assistance.

And that is our largest school-based project at the Center.

I have previously also managed the five-year SAMHSA grant that was awarded to CHRIS 180, a local behavioral health provider, and that was also school-based initiatives. That just came to a sunset, the five-year grant.



1	And I had a little, quite brief, run with,
2	participation in, an evaluation of a local GNETS
3	program. Initiative, local GNETS initiative.
4	Q Thank you for that overview. We're going
5	to talk about those things one by one.
6	In particular, the Apex, we'll talk about,
7	your work on Apex we'll talk about in-depth.
8	When you say you direct a program, for
9	instance, when you direct the Apex program, that's
10	in bullets, what does that mean?
11	A That means managing, forecasting the body
12	of work, managing the budget, managing the team,
13	ensuring that we're staying within our scheduled
14	timeline of deliverables. And really overseeing
15	working with two leads to oversee the day-to-day
16	work in the execution of the contract.
17	Q And this is a contract with DBHDD,
18	correct?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And do you coordinate directly with staff
21	at DBHDD in connection with the contract?
22	A I do. I work specifically within their
23	OCYF office, and within that office I work with
24	Dante McKay and Layla Fitzgerald, who is the program
25	manager for Apey on the DRHDD gide Danielle Jones



1	and	Dr.	Stephanie	Pearson.
---	-----	-----	-----------	----------

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Do you have any contact with John Quesenberry?
- A I have had very little contact with John
 Quesenberry, but what contact I have had has been
 very recent. He has, he has submitted some data
 requests. And so those typically get routed to me
 first, and then I sort of work with my team to
 respond to those.
 - Q What data requests have you received from John Quesenberry?
 - A Most recently we received a data request where he was wanting us to forward the name of the schools that have been served within the Apex program for the previous -- I want to say three or four state fiscal years.
 - They were organized by the school district and county that they reside in. He asked for the addresses, but we don't quite have that data in that way, and so what we did submit was just the school list for the state fiscal years. As I said, organized by county and district and things like that.
 - Q Do you know what the reason was for the request?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- I don't believe I do.
- 0 You said that was the most recent request you received from John Quesenberry. Were there others?
 - Α So -- yes. Just one other that I'm recalling, and it was in regards to asking if we had data that -- for the Georgia Apex program that helps to understand educational outcomes for the students receiving services.
- If I recall, he was trying to understand graduation -- graduation rates, graduation matriculation, and we don't currently collect data at an individual student level. And so I had sort of relayed that to him, that we don't currently track the data in that way.
- Is that true across metrics, that you do 0 not collect data at an individual child level, or is it just for graduation rates?
- No. That's true, that's true across Α metrics, for the Georgia Apex program.
 - Understand. 0
- 22 We certainly are only collecting aggregate Α 23 level data.
- 24 The CSBs may be collecting individual 25 level data, but what gets forwarded to us is still



L	aggregate	level
)	

- Q So just to make sure I understand, the COE is not asking the Community Service Boards to report individual level data in connection with the Apex program; is that accurate?
 - A That's not entirely accurate.

So as a program, our body of work and our scope does not include individual level data collection. That has been a goal of ours for some time, just to be able to take the conversation of kind of the outcomes of the program to the next level.

We have attempted to -- we have attempted to put processes in place and things like that for that to happen. That has not been successful for a variety of reasons.

So most recently we have partnered with a specific Community Service Board, a specific CSB, just to pilot what this data collection could look like, at a very small level before we scale up.

We were never looking to do every -- the whole -- everyone. It was always going to be a pilot but it was going to be a larger pilot.

But in the absence of being able to put something like that together, we are working with a



1	specific	: CSB	and	requ	esting	indivi	dual	leve	el da	ta.
2	That has	not	COME	2 to	i+'	g gtill	in	the 1	alann	inc

- 3 process. We have not received any data to date.
 - O Understood.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 5 Which Community Service Board are you 6 working on this pilot with?
- 7 A That's Georgia Hope.
 - Q And what metrics are you hoping to receive at an individual level?
 - A So we're really wanting to understand if kids are doing better, if their functioning is improving. You know, are there -- are they meeting perhaps their treatment goals? Are they seeing -- kids progressing better?

And so for that we are attempting to collect CANS data. It's a diagnostic tool that clinicians will use. It's comprised of lots of domains to help you understand the progress that a child might be making.

And then we're also attempting to understand educational outcomes. And for those -- for that we've broken it down to three specific metrics: We're looking at attendance, attendance rate; behavioral, and for behavior we're relying on discipline incident data; and course progress. And

1	so for course progress, again, this is a pilot, so
2	we're really trying to both see what sort of data we
3	receive but also understand the process it takes to
4	gather this data. So for course progression we're
5	just doing matriculation to the next grade.
6	Q How did you go about selecting those
7	specific individual level metrics to show, as you
8	put it, the child's progress?
9	A Sure.
10	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form but you can
11	answer.
12	Go ahead.
13	A That's really we rely on previous
14	research. We rely on literature reviews. So that's
15	informed by us kind of going to the body of research
16	and work that's already been done in this area.
L7	What are the indicators that have
18	previously been studied in other empirical, you
L9	know, peer review journals that have shown as strong
20	indicators to understand if kids are doing better?
21	And so those decisions are based on just
22	literature review and understanding kind of what's
23	in the research base. Of course, the academic

indicator is kind of coming from that body and



research landscape.

24

And so what we typically do with this decision, or really any direction we go in, is we sort of bring, you know, the best practices or the literature or the recommendation.

At the COE, one of our sort of -- what's important to us is we really see ourselves as neutral convenors. So the research that I bring isn't necessarily -- is not -- not necessarily. It's not meant to sway you in one direction or another. It's really meant to kind of bring you all the research and the data and then we collaboratively discuss what is the best fit or what



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-- where we think -- what makes sense for our 1 2 program and our intervention. 3 0 Understood. 4 And just to go back to my question, did 5 staff at OCYF have input on the pilot metrics? Yes. 6 Α 7 Who had input? Q Α Layla Fitzgerald. 8 9 0 Did Dante McKay weigh in? 10 And Danielle Jones as well. Α 11 I am not -- so I'm not recalling if, if 12 Dante was directly involved in those conversations. 13 And what I mean by that is -- so he, he manages a 14 lot of programs. So he isn't always physically 15 present for all of our meetings where a lot of these 16 conversations take place, and I don't recall if he 17 was present for that particular meeting, but, you 18 know, we have sort of our, our norms of ensuring he 19 stays in the loop, whether it's follow-up emails or 20 meeting minutes or anything like that. 21 Layla is also very good about kind of 22 prioritizing what needs his either feedback or 23 approval or quidance or something like that. So I 24 have no doubt that he -- I shouldn't say I have no 25 doubt. I don't know. But given the kind of



1	practice of how we work together, I I cannot
2	recall if he was directly involved, but I believe he
3	had some knowledge.
4	Q And just to make sure that the record is
5	clear, the metrics that you've just described in
6	connection with this pilot, you're not certainly
7	collecting any of that data, right?
8	A I don't believe we've received the actual
9	data yet. We're still in the process of just
10	identifying the CSB kind of identifying and
11	confirming that they can in fact, you know, be able
12	to collect all of that data, to be able to receive
13	all of that data, and then we also need to ensure a
L4	process we put a process in place that is
15	forwarded to us de-identified.
16	So our institutional review board approval
L7	for this project very much sort of dictates kind of
18	the parameters of the study, and currently our RIB
L9	study is approved for receiving de-identified data.
20	So I don't believe we have received any

- Are there plans to expand this beyond 22 Q 23 Georgia Hope?
- Object to form. 24 MS. JOHNSON:
- 25 You can answer.



data yet.

March 29, 2022

1	A	I	certainly	hope	so.	I	don't,	I	don't
2	know.								

I think -- I mean I think that's, that's my way of doing a pilot, to confirm that all parties involved, this is something that's doable, it's actionable. On both ends. For the CSBs to also be able to retrieve that type of data at an individual level for all the kids that they're serving, and then for our end to kind of ensure, you know, the volume of data that we could potentially be receiving that we are -- we have a good infrastructure in place, we have a good analysis methodology in place to analyze it and things like that.

Q So before we start talking about the pilot, I think that you mentioned that previous efforts to collect individual level data have been challenging. Could you describe what the challenges were?

A Sure. So previous efforts engaged Georgia DOE in attempting to retrieve that data.

Georgia DOE does have a data request process, but the type of data that we are requesting is not inclusive in sort of what their public request process is, again because it's individual



1	level data, right.
2	So we have worked with members at Georgia
3	DOE to understand to explain what our desire is
4	and to understand what the process is.
5	And that's, that's the whole process. You
6	know, it's been challenging at times. We've, we've
7	sort of gone down that road and then we'll hit a
8	little bump and it will kind of set us back. That's
9	kind of what's happening.
10	Q What do you mean by a little bump?
11	MS. COHEN: Let's just take a short break,
12	if you don't mind.
13	MR. HOLKINS: Okay, 5 minutes.
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 9:43
15	a.m.
16	(A recess was taken.)
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
18	9:50 a.m.
19	BY MR. HOLKINS:
20	Q Mrs. Desai, when we went on break, we were
21	talking about a request that you had made to DOE,
22	and I think you mentioned there had been some speed
23	bumps. My question to you is, what speed bumps did
24	you hit?
25	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.



You can answer.

A So we, we had some -- we had just a handful of meetings with COE, DOE, and DBHDD, and we kind of identified our interests in wanting to understand the educational outcomes of the kids receiving Apex services, and the initial conversation was -- the result of I think of the first contact was DOE forwarded to us its, its -- I don't know. It's a data dictionary.

It basically identifies all of the individual data points that they collect for students. They did share that with us. And, you know, said, let us kind of understand exactly what it is you're interested in.

So we collaboratively identified based on that list, a very -- a very lengthy list, what we might be interested in to truly understand if kids were sort of improving. And, you know, highlighted those things that were of interest to us.

I believe what happened is there was -that I think was happening at the same time that
there was some change in leadership, as well as some
change in policy regarding how data requests are
received and processed. And so that delayed us for
a little bit, as new team members were coming in,

other jobs or

and some were I think transitioning to other jobs or retiring.

And so it took a minute to get new members seated, and then we resurrected the conversation and, you know, attempted to again identify those metrics that we were interested in.

In that time, some of the data points were no longer being collected or some maybe were, you know, redefined in some way. So we received a more updated list of data points that were collected on an individual level. So then we went through the process again of identifying what would be of interest to us.

And from there we were connected with -- I don't remember his exact title. It's chief something, information officer, something like that, and he then detailed for us what the exact process was to go through to request this type of data.

And we realized that there was maybe a pre-step that I think that I wasn't -- we weren't sure if, if -- if there needed to be some sort of preexisting data sharing agreement that needed to be in place to request this type of data.

So there's always -- the process -- the public process allows you to request the data, and



March 29, 2022

1	that can be done by anyone, me, you, anyone in this
2	room. But when you're wanting a more granular
3	level, I think there is some DOE has some process
4	of like identifying, okay, is this is this like
5	such a lay way to say but is it too much data to
6	be requesting it this way? Do we need a formal data
7	sharing agreement?
8	And so that's really where the
9	conversation kind of got a little bit of a pause.
10	It's like do we need a data sharing agreement? If
11	so, who is that between or amongst?
12	Q Okay. So let's go back.
13	To whom at DOE did you make the initial
14	request?
15	I'm just looking for a name.
16	A I know. So I'm blanking on his name.
17	So there was someone there that was
18	retiring as I came on, and I don't remember her
19	name.
20	Q What was her title?
21	A I don't know.
22	Q When was this?
23	A 2016, 2017.
24	Q And then you made you renewed that
25	request, correct?



1	A Yes.
2	Q To whom did you make that renewed request
3	at DOE?
4	A Ashley Harris.
5	Q And what is her title?
6	A I don't remember the title, but I know she
7	is maybe the director, is her title. The Whole
8	Child Supports Team. The Whole Child Supports Team.
9	Q I believe you testified that you received
10	a data dictionary from DOE; is that correct?
11	A Yes, uh-huh.
12	MR. HOLKINS: Counsel, to the extent we
13	don't have the data dictionary, we're going to
L4	ask that you produce it. We'll follow up.
15	MS. JOHNSON: Just to be just to be
16	clear, I think she testified it was like a data
17	dictionary but not necessarily that was the
18	name of the document.
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
20	BY MR. HOLKINS:
21	Q So what exactly was it that you received?
22	A So it was a pdf, and it included, from my
23	understanding, all of the individual individual
2.4	and actually aggregate data points that DOE tracks

And so some of the examples of things like



Like it was just -- obviously, like matriculation to the next grade, discipline incidents, absences. Yeah.

So things that at an individual level but then there were also, now that I'm recalling, there was identification of things that could be rolled up at a school level. I think maybe even at a district level. Testing, Georgia milestones, standardized testing results.

Q Okay. And which specific fields did OCE express an interest in?

A I, I honestly cannot relay all the ones we highlighted. I will tell you that for sure we were just so excited to receive something like that, we went a little crazy with the highlighter and, you know, let's -- this would be interesting, this would be interesting.

And then that second year we really got realistic and, okay, what do we -- what do we need.

I think the idea that first year being, being able to sort of understand some descriptive data for the kids that were in the program was exciting to us.



But, in reflection, that's the second 1 2 As well as -- as I said, I believe some data time. 3 points had either been like combined or collapsed. 4 They had kind of realigned some of that. 5 So it was, it was a smaller list of what 6 we requested. 7 Q Okay. 8 Α But I don't recall, other than what I 9 said, the absences, the discipline incidents, the 10 matriculation. 11 0 Right. Understood. Thank you. 12 So to make sure I understand, there were 13 two separate requests made for specific fields, one 14 broader than the other; is that accurate? 15 Α Yes. 16 MR. HOLKINS: And so, counsel, just to put a marker down, those are also documents we 17 18 would like to see produced if they haven't been 19 already. 20 MS. JOHNSON: The requests, you mean? 21 MR. HOLKINS: Exactly. The two documents 22 that she just identified, and we can follow up 23 after the deposition.

Sure, sure.



MS. JOHNSON:

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Do you recall when each of those requests was made for the specific fields of interest at COE?
 - A Sorry. Can you repeat the question?
- Q Sure. When were each of those requests made for the fields of interest?
- A Okay. So I believe the initial one was 2019, and the most recent one was 2021.
- Q Is it accurate to say that the COE is not currently receiving data in connection with those requests from the DOE?
- 12 A Correct.
- Q Is it also correct that there is currently no data sharing agreement between the COE and DOE?
 - A Correct.
- Q And, to your knowledge, is there also no data sharing between DBHDD and COE in connection with the requests that the COE made?
- 19 A Correct.
 - Q I want to -- before we leave this line, there is so much more to talk about with respect to Apex and I don't want to lose track of where we are, but who from DBHDD was involved in this process of COE making requests to DOE for specific fields of data?



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

l	McKay.
---	--------

I believe Dr. Pearson was engaged in some of the conversation.

And Danielle was not on the team. In 2019 she was not on the team yet. I do believe she may have been present for the 2021.

Who else from the Center of Excellence, 0 aside from yourself, was involved in these conversations?

My evaluation lead for Apex. Her name is Dr. Ani Whitmore. She was engaged in the 2021, not in the 2019.

So she was physically present for the 2019 but I believe it was within the first month of employment, so. So she has been involved in the conversations.

Susan McLaren, who is -- she is within -as I mentioned previously, within the COE we have several arms of work, and she is the portfolio manager for evaluation, and the Georgia Apex project sits within that. So she was engaged.

As well as our director for the Center of Excellence. Her name is Ann DiGirolamo.

Were any other staff at DOE involved 0 beyond the ones you've already identified?



1	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form, but you can
2	answer.
3	MR. HOLKINS: So let me just rephrase.
4	BY MR. HOLKINS:
5	Q I just want to make sure the record is
6	clear. Can you name any DOE staff who were involved
7	in receiving and responding to these requests for
8	specific fields?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection, but you can
10	answer.
11	A So, as I mentioned, the lady who retired,
12	I do not recall her name. I never met her. She, I
13	think, was already retired.
L4	And at that time there was also a male
15	that was somewhat involved in the conversation. So
16	we're talking about 2019. And I don't he is
L7	still currently at DOE. I'm not recalling his name.
18	And then more recently Cheryl Benefield, I
L9	think, was I believe was another individual at
20	DOE that was engaged in the conversation.
21	As well as as well as whomever it's
22	a male and, again, because I've had such limited
23	connection, I'm not recalling their names. But it
24	was another male, and I think he is in charge of the
25	school climate survey, and I believe that individual



1 was engaged.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then the one meeting with -- I think it was -- maybe it was chief information officer or something like that at DOE. We were connected with him once, and that was to really understand, okay, this unique request that we were attempting to make, what is the process and what's the best way for us to position ourselves to make a -- you know, to successfully submit a strong application for the request.

0 Understood.

So a little while ago, and please do correct me if I'm mistaken in this, I believe that you testified that accessing this client level data would help take your evaluations to the next level. Is that accurate?

So I think -- so I come from an evaluation Α and research background, and so, you know, that's kind of what excites me, and for the programs that I work for I know that data is integral to, you know, understanding how to improve the program, how to develop the program, how to, you know, secure funding for the program. The numbers talk.

I think the important thing to note is what -- what is challenging is that this is not,



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

39

this is not clinical research. This is applied 1 2 research.

So, you know, my research background excites me to receive that individual level data. but it's in the presence of, you know, programming that's in real communities with real people.

And so that I think presents a challenge to, you know, always -- to be able to -- to be able to run a study when you are dealing with programs in communities with people is a lot more challenging than to receive data if you were doing something in a research setting with clinical trials, right.

So my excitement from the data comes from my background, but I love being in applied research because even though that presents challenging environments to do research, it's more timely, and I think the results are more impactful and readily accessible than what you do in a clinical trial and then it has to go through FDA, and so all of those things.

So I share that with you to say that I think the challenges are not new and unique. That's just what happens when you're working with programs and communities.

Q So I appreciate that. I'm not asking



1	about the challenges, though. I'm asking why you're
2	interested in this client level data. You
3	previously testified that it would help bring your
4	research to the next level?
5	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
6	Q What did you mean when you said that?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Object, to the extent she's
8	speaking on her own behalf not as for the
9	Center of Excellence and why
10	MR. HOLKINS: This is not, this is not a
11	30(b)(6) deposition. She's here testifying in
12	her own behalf. That's established.
13	BY MR. HOLKINS:
14	Q Please answer the question.
15	A Can you repeat the question?
16	Q Sure. When you said that accessing client
17	level data would bring your research in connection
18	with Apex to the next level, what did you mean?
19	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection, but you can
20	answer.
21	A It would allow us to understand if kids,
22	as I said, were improving, improving in their
23	functioning, improving in their you know,
24	improving with regard to their behavioral health
25	needs. If those things were, you know whatever



Τ	supports and services were necessary. If they were,
2	you know, resulting in a way that they're showing
3	perhaps some improvement on their treatment plans.
4	You know, with these programs in schools,
5	that to me means there's an immediate connect
6	that to me means there could be an immediate
7	connection to improvements of academics as well.
8	So we've been tracking the aggregate data
9	and we have come to understand from the aggregate
10	data that schools that are implementing Apex are
11	seeing whole school benefit, and so my saying taking
12	it to the next level with regard to collecting
13	individual data collection would allow us to
14	understand if that same change or benefit was
15	present at an individual level.
16	Q Thank you, Ms. Desai.
17	You mentioned a while back that part of
18	one of your responsibilities at the COE was in
19	connection with the five-year SAMHSA grant?
20	A Oh, yes.
21	Q Is that right?
22	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
23	Q What was that grant?
24	A That was that was a grant with SAMHSA
25	in partnership with the National NCTS. National



March 29, 2022 42

Center for Traumatic -- the National Center for 1 2 Traumatic Stress Network. 3 That grant was collaboratively written with the Center of Excellence and CHRIS 180, which 4 5 is a local behavioral health provider. That, that grant, in a nutshell, was 6 7 implementing us a trauma informed framework in a 8 school-based setting, and then also putting a 9 therapist at that -- placing a therapist at those 10 schools to provide therapeutic support that has been 11 informed by this trauma framework. 12 So it's multilayer in that the therapist 13 delivering services has been trauma informed, and 14 the school also receives trauma informed schoolwide 15 training for staff and administrators as well.

- Q So CHRIS 180, is that a Community Service Board?
- A It is not a Community Service Board.
- 19 Q Its another level of community provider 20 within Georgia?
 - A Yes, correct.
- Q And the trauma informed services that were funded through the grant, were they provided in schools?
- 25 A Correct.

16

17

18



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Q	How many schools?
2	А	Six. Six schools.

Do you know in what part of the state? 0

Α They were all in APS, Atlanta Public School System. All within -- and that's how the grant was written, yeah.

That was identified very early in the grant, and I think that was because SAMHSA's RFP process required you to speak to the communities in which you were going to be implementing these And I think also showed demonstrated need services. from those -- of those communities to need services like that.

And so, as I said, I think there -- I think the grant did include some community data that kind of demonstrated that the schools within the APS system, you know, based on certain data metrics, showed that they had a need for, you know, this type of service.

What precisely did the grant fund? 0

I can -- I mean I can speak to what the Α grant funded in terms of our scope of work.

The grant directly was to CHRIS 180, so we were sort of -- we were the subcontractor to conduct the evaluation. And so I can't -- I can't speak to



1	how CHRIS 180 utilized that.
2	Q Do you know whether CHRIS 180 used the
3	grant fund in whole or in part to fund staff
4	positions?
5	A It's possible. I don't know for sure, but
6	it's possible, yes.
7	Q What monitoring activities did the COE
8	undertake in connection with this grant?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
10	You can answer.
11	A So there were five goals that were
12	identified as part of that grant, and if I recall,
13	three of them had data collection metrics attached
14	to those.
15	So the first was creating a trauma
16	creating a more trauma informed school environment.
17	So we studied the school environment. Because,
18	again, CHRIS 180 was placing trauma informed
19	trauma informed um, trainers, into the school to
20	go in and provide that intervention training, that
21	specific evidence-based practice that they were
22	applying.
23	So, you know, that was kind of the
24	intervention. And then we were studying there
25	was a specific data collection tool that was meant



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to understand if the school environment is becoming more trauma informed over time. So that survey was implemented.

As well as we did some focus groups. believe annually we did focus groups with the staff, to also understand, you know, from a qualitative perspective if, if the staff that chose to participate were feeling more trauma informed as well.

The second was to understand if the kids that are receiving the therapeutic services, if they were -- if they were -- if at an individual level, if they were showing improvement. And so there was a whole host of data collection tools that helped us to assess that. And it was, it was data points from multiple perspectives. So there was a caregiver survey, there was -- there was a teacher survey.

I don't think there was an outright student like perspective survey, but there was student level data coming in in terms of if their -in terms of the level and severity of their trauma.

And so that helped us to understand, again, the individual kids receiving these trauma informed services, if they were showing improvement.

And then the final kind of -- the third of



March 29, 2022

the three goals that had data collection attached to 1 2 it had to do with agency level. So within CHRIS 3 180, you know, how -- the therapists that were 4 trained in the interventions that were then employed 5 or deployed to the schools, kind of how their own -had their own growth for the intervention and the 6 7 framework, how that was kind of progressing. 8 Q Thank you. 9 Did the COE draft any reports in 10 connection with the data collection it was 11 undertaking for this grant? 12 Α Yes. 13 0 Are those reports public? 14 Α Yes. 15 So we'll make an effort to obtain them 0 16 publicly. If not, we'll follow up with your 17 counsel. 18 MS. JOHNSON: That's good. 19 Q Where would they be maintained publicly? 20 Α I'm not sure how SAMHSA makes those 21 public. 22 It was a report to SAMHSA? Q 23 Α Correct. 24 I know where to find SAMHSA. 0 25 Α Yeah.



March 29, 2022

1	Q What's your point of contact for this
2	grant at CHRIS 180?
3	A So my immediate contact is no longer
4	employed with CHRIS 180.
5	Q Who was that person?
6	A Her name is Jenn Packard. Jennifer
7	Packard.
8	Q Were you in contact with anyone else at
9	CHRIS 180 in connection with this grant?
10	A Yes. But that person is ultimately not
11	employed no longer at CHRIST 180.
12	Q And who is that person?
13	A I see her face. Cindy, Cindy
14	Q That's okay if you don't recall.
15	A She, she was a C level, COE, CFO. I'm not
16	sure. She was C level.
17	Cindy I'm remembering a name but I
18	think it's a friend from childhood whose name was
19	also Cindy. So it's Cindy.
20	Q Okay. I believe you've stated that this
21	grant has since ended?
22	A Uh-hum. Yes.
23	Q And do you know whether the trauma
24	informed services that the grant supported have been
25	sustained?



1	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
2	You can answer.
3	A I do not know.
4	Q Do you know whether those services are
5	available in any other through any other
6	community providers in Georgia?
7	A Do you, do you mean
8	Q The trauma informed services that the
9	grant supported, do you know whether they are
10	available through any community service provider in
11	the State of Georgia currently?
12	A Okay. So you're referring in your
13	question you're referring to the specific six
14	schools within APS, correct?
15	Q I'm referring to the services that were
16	funded for those schools through the grant. What
17	I'm trying to discern is whether you have any
18	awareness that those same services exist through any
19	community service provider in the State of Georgia?
20	A So CHRIST 180 was the agency that had
21	developed their specific framework for trauma
22	informed practice, and I know that initially with
23	the, with the grant they were bringing in this new
24	framework to train the therapists that were going to
25	be placed in those specific schools, but over the



1	course of the five years they just felt so connected
2	to that particular framework that they ended up
3	training all of their staff in that framework
4	regardless if it was a therapist doing direct
5	therapy or the receptionist.
6	They just felt so connected to that being
7	a good way to do business that they trained
8	everyone.
9	And so knowing that, I have I am, I am
10	believing that because all the therapists were
11	trained in that, even beyond those that supported
12	just that grant, that that work is continuing.
13	Q Are you aware of whether other community
L4	service providers beyond CHRIS 180 are providing
15	training to therapists on this framework?

So as part of their grant, one of the five Α goals was to attempt to create a wider network, yes, of therapists across the State that were going to be more trauma informed, for their purpose in this particular framework, because it really resonated with them.

And so through that goal CHRIS 180, at no cost, did offer this training to other therapists employed by other behavioral health providers across the State. And I believe they, in the end,



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

connecte	ed wit	h thre	ee otl	ner pro	viders	and	did	go
through	and t	rain t	chose	provid	ders as	s well	l.	

Q Thank you.

Did the Center of Excellence provide any training or technical assistance in connection with this grant?

A The only technical assistance that we provided for this grant is really in the area of just evaluation. We did not provide any other TA, no. Just the evaluation.

And so, you know, as the data came in, quantitative or qualitative, we would, you know, unpack it, understand it. CHRIS 180 would put some context to it, given they are the knowers of the implementation in schools and things. And that would allow us to collaboratively engage in conversations, you know.

Q Okay. So stepping back from the grant, I want to just ask you some more foundational questions about your job.

Who do you report to directly?

- A Susan McLaren.
- Q And what is your title at COE?
- 24 A Senior Research Associate.
 - Q And who reports to you directly at the



1	COE?
2	A I serve as an administrative supervisor
3	for two team members.
4	Q And who are they?
5	A One team member's name is Issis Nelson
6	Graham, and one team member's name is Janese
7	Cordova.
8	Q For the first individual you just
9	referenced, what is that person's title?
10	A They are both Research Associate II.
11	Q Okay. Thank you.
12	A No, sorry. Yes, I think they are both
13	Research Associate II.
14	Q I believe you testified earlier the Center
15	of Excellence has a contract with DBHDD; is that
16	correct?
17	A Correct.
18	Q So I am going to share with you by Zoom an
19	exhibit.
20	Give me one second and I'll pull it up.
21	What I'll do, we'll practice before, is give you
22	control and you can take a look at the document.
23	Can you see this document, Ms. Desai?
24	A I can.
25	MR. HOLKINS: For the record, this is



1	GA00661708, produced by the State of Georgia to
2	the United States in this matter.
3	BY MR. HOLKINS:
4	Q At the top of the document it reads "State
5	of Georgia, Department of Behavioral Health
6	Development Disabilities Contract," and at the very
7	top of the right corner it says "DBHDD - Georgia
8	State University FY2020, Center of Excellence."
9	Ms. Desai, please take a moment to review
10	the document. I am going to give you control so you
11	can scroll through. There's no need for you to
12	review line by line, but I do want to give you a
13	chance to familiarize yourself with the document.
14	Please let me know when you're finished.
15	A Okay.
16	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
17	MR. HOLKINS: For the record, this is
18	Exhibit 43.
19	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-43 was
20	marked for identification.)
21	A Okay.
22	Q Ms. Desai, before today, have you seen
23	this document?
24	A In perhaps not in this, in this form.
25	I've seen I've seen pieces of this document.



1	This is, I think, a pdf of several other documents
2	that have been combined.
3	So I have seen some pieces of this
4	document, yes.
5	Q And did you have a hand in let me just
6	step back.
7	What is your role in connection with the
8	contracting process between the COE and DBHDD?
9	A Sure. So I am responsible for creating a
10	budget and a scope of work for my specific projects
11	that I lead. And so for this contract that is the
12	Georgia Apex program.
13	So I create a scope and budget to support
14	that work.
15	Q Okay. Thank you.
16	And is that an ongoing responsibility with
17	respect to contracting between DBHDD and COE?
18	A Yes. So and then so I so in
19	project leads kind of direct, and then it sort of
20	gets rolled up, combined, yeah.
21	Q Just to make sure it's clear, that's
22	something that you do annually?
23	A Correct.
24	Q I want to direct you to Page 23. I called
25	this up on the screen. The title of this page is



March 29, 2022 54

"COE Scope of Work and Projects under CYF, Overall 1 2 Contract FY20." 3 Do you see that title? I do. 4 Α And the first project is Apex. Do you see 5 0 6 that? 7 Α Yes. 8 Is this what you meant when you were 0 9 talking about drafting the scope of work for your 10 project, Apex? 11 Α Yes. 12 So you drafted all the text that we see on 0 13 the page right now? 14 Collaboratively, yes. Α 15 With whom did you collaborate? 0 16 So at the time my two leads for the Α 17 So, again, Dr. Ani Whitmore for 18 evaluation, and Rachel Campos for technical 19 assistance. 20 Oftentimes I also connect with my 21 immediate supervisor as a thought partner, and then 22 before this gets finalized, Layla Fitzgerald and 23 Dante McKay also do preview it. 24 And when you say your immediate 0 25 supervisor, that's Susan McLaren?



March 29, 2022

1	А	Susan McLaren.
2	Q	Thank you.
3		Who does Susan McLaren report to at the
4	Center of	Excellence?
5	А	She reports to one of our directors, Angie
6	Snyder.	
7	Q	What is Angie Snyder's role?
8	А	Angie leads a lot of our projects related
9	to funding	g financing.
10	Q	Who is there an executive director or
11	CEO	
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	for the Center of Excellence?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Who is that person?
16	А	Karen Minyard.
17	Q	Is it Karen?
18	A	Karen, K-A-R-E-N. Karen Minyard.
19	Q	How long has Karen been in that role?
20	A	I believe since the Center's inception.
21	Q	And do you know when that occurred?
22	A	27 years. I believe.
23	Q	Does Angie I apologize for not
24	rememberi	ng her last name?
25	A	Snyder.



1	Q	Snyder. Thank you.
2		Does Ms. Snyder report to Karen? And
3	again I do	on't have her last name. I apologize.
4	A	That's okay.
5		I believe so.
6	Q	Thank you.
7		I believe you referenced another staff
8	member at	COE previously. I think her name is Ann
9	DiGirolamo)?
10	A	DiGirolamo. She is the director for the
11	Center of	Excellence.
12	Q	Okay. And what is Ms. DiGirolamo's
13	what are l	ner duties broadly as director for the
14	Center?	
15	A	She, she oversees, you know, really all of
16	the work	that falls that falls within our scope
17	of work b	roadly at the COE.
18	Q	Does she have any responsibilities
19	specifica?	lly with respect to your projects?
20	A	She yeah. I mean as this project
21	sits with:	in her, you know, her portfolio. Yes, she
22	does.	
23	Q	When you say this project, you're talking
24	about the	Apex project?
25	A	Right.



1	Q Would she review, for instance, the COE
2	scope of work that we're discussing?
3	A Oh, yes. She, she leads that process. So
4	we individually, as project leads, come together
5	or create drafts of our scope and budgets, and yes.
6	Ann DiGirolamo, with, with collaboration
7	with another team member helps to bring this work
8	together.
9	The other team member is, is who manages
10	this, this budget, the OCYF budget.
11	Q You probably have already referenced that
12	person, but who is that?
13	A Her name is Astrid, Prudent.
14	Q Thank you.
15	A A-S-T-R-I-D, P-R-U-D-E-N-T. Astrid
16	Prudent.
17	Q Is there a contract between COE and DBHDD
18	for FY21?
19	A That's the year we're in right now? We're
20	in FY22?

- Yes, yes, we have a contract every year.
- Q And so you've created scopes of work
 specific to the Apex program for the FY21 contract
 as well?
- 25 A Yeah. We're -- I'm getting confused now.



1	We're in
2	Q I think we may be in '22 now.
3	A Yes, we're in fiscal year '22.
4	Yes, we produce this annually.
5	Q Okay.
6	MR. HOLKINS: So, counsel, I think we only
7	have the most recent contract that we have
8	between CEO and DBHDD is this one, for FY20.
9	So we would just ask for supplementation, so we
10	have the most recent contract, all of the
11	contracts really between 2016 and the present.
12	MS. JOHNSON: Are you going to send a
13	list?
14	MR. HOLKINS: We will, yes.
15	BY MR. HOLKINS:
16	Q So let's put this document aside, and I'm
17	going to show you another one.
18	MR. HOLKINS: I believe this is 44. It's
19	two documents.
20	MS. COHEN: So these two documents are
21	attached as Exhibit 44?
22	MR. HOLKINS: These two documents together
23	will be Exhibit 44.
24	MS. COHEN: Thank you.
25	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-44 was



Т	marked for identification.)
2	BY MR. HOLKINS:
3	Q Ms. Desai, you've just been handed what's
4	been marked Exhibit 44.
5	MR. HOLKINS: For the record, these are
6	two documents. The first is an email with the
7	Bates No. GA00152174.
8	Q That email was sent from Dante McKay on
9	January 1 excuse me January 19, 2021, to a
10	number of recipients, including yourself, and
11	attached to the email is a document entitled, "COE
12	Product Status DM 01/18,21."
13	MR. HOLKINS: So I think we're going to
14	actually have to split these up. It's my
15	mistake, because the attachment that I grabbed
16	is for a different date.
17	So this must be a subsequent version.
18	It's dated $1/19/21$ and the attached email is
19	1/18/21.
20	I apologize for that. Let's divide that
21	up.
22	The first exhibit will just be the email,
23	which is, I believe, 44.
24	BY MR. HOLKINS:
25	Q So let's just talk about that.



So, Ms. Desai, this email describes the 1 2 status of various projects that COE is undertaking 3 in connection with this contract with DBHDD, 4 correct? 5 Α Correct. 6 0 Do you meet regularly with DBHDD to 7 discuss the status of COE's projects? 8 Α We do. 9 This one is a cross project, this 10 communication, but for individual projects we have 11 specific staff that we meet with regularly. 12 Let me make sure I understand. 0 13 Does the COE have meetings with DBHDD to 14 discuss all of COE's work, or is it specific -- are 15 those meetings specific to certain projects? 16 Α Both. 17 Both? 0 18 Uh-hum. (Affirmative.) Α 19 So, you know, in projecting and 20 forecasting the next fiscal year's work, we will 21 meet similar to what this email is kind of saying, 22 that we will -- you know, we will meet as a very 23 large group to talk about status of work and 24 forecasting and projection.

But then for kind of the maintenance and



1	the execution of the work, we meet as smaller teams,
2	you know, based on the project and who the project
3	contacts are for both the COE side and the DBHDD
4	side.
5	Q Okay. So let's put this aside. That's
6	44.
7	Now I'm going to show you 45.
8	MR. HOLKINS: I'm not sure if that's been
9	remarked. We may need to stamp that.
10	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-45 was
11	marked for identification.)
12	BY MR. HOLKINS:
13	Q Ms. Desai, you've just been handed
14	GA00152179. At the top left corner it reads "COE
	GA00152179. At the top left corner it reads "COE Scope of Work, Status Review - Product, Last updated
14	_
14 15 16	Scope of Work, Status Review - Product, Last updated
14 15 16 17	Scope of Work, Status Review - Product, Last updated 1/19/21."
14 15 16 17	Scope of Work, Status Review - Product, Last updated 1/19/21." Ms. Desai, have you seen this document
14 15 16 17	Scope of Work, Status Review - Product, Last updated 1/19/21." Ms. Desai, have you seen this document before today?
14 15 16 17 18	Scope of Work, Status Review - Product, Last updated 1/19/21." Ms. Desai, have you seen this document before today? A I have.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Scope of Work, Status Review - Product, Last updated 1/19/21." Ms. Desai, have you seen this document before today? A I have. Q What is this document?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Scope of Work, Status Review - Product, Last updated 1/19/21." Ms. Desai, have you seen this document before today? A I have. Q What is this document? A This document is drafted to support

this table, that then gets, you know, included with



the budget, which becomes the contract that we were previously looking at.

- Q So this is a document that you're drafting in preparation to submit your scope of work for the COE/DBHDD contract; is that accurate?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Do you update this scope of work document on a rolling basis throughout the year?
- 9 A Yes. So in my role, that's really what my
 10 role is meant to do, is to ensure that, you know,
 11 we're checking off all of our activities and
 12 confirming that we have completed our deliverables
 13 by the end of this state fiscal year.
- So, yes, I use this as kind of my roadmap,
 if you will, of, of ensuring that the work gets
 completed and, you know, keep in touch with our
 DBHDD partners to identify if something needs to
 change or be adjusted or what-not.
 - Q Would you say that you're updating this document on a weekly basis?
 - A Um, when you say update, like -- so I'm not, I'm not necessarily coming back to this particular document, but it's -- but it is something that, yes, I kind of keep track of.
- 25 So this -- like this -- this document, in



L	terms of process for me and the way I lead my
2	project, sort of gets broken down into then what's
3	happening on the evaluation side and then what's
4	happening on the TA side. Yeah.

- Q And are there documents like this for each of those sides, evaluation and monitoring?
- 7 A Yes.

6

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A They may not look like this table.
- 10 Q Understood.
 - A But, yes, it might be a spreadsheet that helps track which team member is working on what and what are some milestones, what are some deliverable dates, things like that.
- 15 Q And when those documents are updated or 16 revised, are they shared within the team?
 - A Sometimes they are shared as kind of tangible documents. Otherwise, we have a -- we have a weekly team meeting because this body of work, as a lot of work, requires multiple team members coming together.
 - So the weekly meetings help to keep one another updated and informed with the progress.
- Q And those are meetings that includes staff from OCYF, correct?



19

20

25

1	A The weekly meetings do not include staff
2	from OCYF. Those are internally to kind of keep us
3	on track. Our yeah.
4	Q And are you reporting back to staff at
5	OCYF about progress in connection with the projects
6	listed here as well?
7	A Yes. So our OCYF meetings, we have two
8	monthly. One is meant for the entire Apex team from
9	the COE side, and then the DBHDD partners. And one
10	is meant for just the leadership. And so
11	representing COE for the leadership would be myself
12	and my two leads, evaluation and technical
13	assistance.
14	MR. HOLKINS: Counsel, I just want to put
15	a marker down that this is another area we
16	would appreciate supplementation, and we'll
17	follow up to specify the interest. But in
18	general we're looking to see updates to the COE

21 BY MR. HOLKINS:

January 19, 2021.

I just have a few more questions for you 22 23 before we take a break.

-- excuse me -- the COE Scope of Work since

- 24 Α Okay.
 - Q What is Layla Fitzgerald's job, as you



1 understand it?

2

3

4

5

6

- A I believe she is the program manager for several programs, one of which is the Georgia Apex program. Within the OCYF office.
- Q Do you have any knowledge with respect to a memorandum of understanding between DOE and DBHDD with respect to Layla Fitzgerald's role?
- 8 A She was named a mental health liaison, I 9 think was the title that was kind of being shared.
- She helps to -- I believe within that role she is bringing in a mental health perspective, mental health liaison.
- Q And from your perspective, have Ms.

 14 Fitzgerald's duties changed since she took on that

 15 new role as behavioral health liaison?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | O How so?
- A She is -- she is more -- she is present and more active in some of the I think DOE teams --I'm not sure what they're called there. But she, she is more active with some of their teams, with some of their initiatives.
- 23 She works with the Whole Child Support 24 initiative. I think she's more present in a lot 25 more of -- I think she's more present, more those



1	meetings a	and those kind of initiatives.
2	Q	Ms. Desai, do you serve on any state level
3	committee	s or work groups as part of your official
4	job respo	nsibilities at COE?
5	A	I don't think so. I don't think they're
6	state level.	
7		I support kind of coalitions and
8	collabora	tions, but I don't think they're I don't
9	think tha	t's what you're asking me.
10	Q	Right. I'm asking about committees or
11	work grou	os that are led by the State.
12		MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
13		You can answer.
14	A	I don't believe so.
15	Q	You say that you support coalitions and
16	collabora	tions. Which ones are you referring to?
17	A	The I know the acronym. Georgia
18	Education	Climate Coalition.
19		And the school-based behavioral health
20	collabora	cive.
21	Q	Anything else?
22	A	Not that I'm recalling at this point.

What is the school-based behavioral health

That is a collaborative that is led,



collaborative?

Α

23

24

March 29, 2022

1	jointly led, by Voices for Children, the Carter	
2	Center, and Georgia Appleseed.	
3	Q What do they do?	
4	MR. HOLKINS: Let me reask that.	
5	BY MR. HOLKINS:	
6	Q What is the work of the school-based	
7	behavioral health collaborative?	
8	A I believe it is to bring together various	
9	professionals and perspectives in school-based	
10	behavioral health services in the state, to help	
11	identify best practices, opportunities for	
12	improvement.	
13	Q How long have you been participating in	
14	the school-based behavioral collaborative?	
15	A I believe since 2019.	
16	Q Does the collaborative meet?	
17	A The collaborative did meet in person	
18	before the pandemic. Since the pandemic, we've been	
19	meeting virtually.	
20	Q How often does the collaborative meet?	
21	A Quarterly. I believe it's quarterly.	
22	Q Do any representatives from the GNETS	
23	program participate in meetings with the	
24	collaborative?	
25	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.	



March 29, 2022

1	Y	ou can answer.
2	A I	don't know. I'm not, I'm not sure.
3	Q H	low many participants are there in
4	collaborati	ve meetings?
5	A P	articipation varies, attendance varies.
6	Į.	Maybe anywhere from 10 to 12 consistently,
7	but not nec	essarily the same consistently.
8	Q S	o you don't recall whether in any of
9	those meetings since 2019 one of those 12	
10	participants was a representative of the GNETS	
11	program?	
12	Į.	IS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
13	Y	ou can answer.
14	A I	don't recall anyone introducing
15	themselves	and identifying that I'm with GNETS.
16	Q D	o you generally know the people who are
17	participati	ng in the school-based behavioral
18	collaborati	ve meetings?
19	A I	he most active participants, yes.
20	Q A	re GNETS program directors active
21	participant	s in school-based behavioral health
22	collaborati	ve meetings?
23	A I	don't know.
24	M	IS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
25	Y	ou can answer.



1	A I	don't know.
2	Q Yo	u just testified, though, that you know
3	the most act	ive members of the school-based
4	behavioral h	ealth collaborative, correct?
5	A Ri	ght.
6	Q Do	you know whether any of those
7	participants	of the collaborative are
8	representati	ves of the GNETS program?
9	MS	. JOHNSON: Same objection.
10	Yo	u can answer.
11	A I	don't know, because I don't recall
12	anyone's tit	le having GNETS in it. I don't think
13	so, but I	
L4	Q Ha	ve you ever invited a representative of
15	the GNETS pr	ogram to participate in the school-based
16	behavioral h	ealth collaborative?
L7	MS	. JOHNSON: Objection.
18	Yo	u can answer.
19	A I'	m just a member. I don't do any of the
20	planning for	the meeting, so I don't know who has
21	been invited	. I just attend.
22	Q Wh	o does the planning for those meetings?
23	A Th	at is jointly between Voices, the Carter
24	Center, and	Georgia Appleseed. They are the
25	thewire the	organization supporting the greation of





1	Gilchrist's connection to the GNETS program, to your
2	knowledge?
3	A He I believe he was the principal of a
4	school and I'm not sure if it's GNETS school, but
5	he is connected with GNETS. But I'm not sure if
6	he's the principal of the GNETS school, if he was
7	the principal of the a non-GNETS school but had
8	connection to the GNETS school.
9	In, in I think in the Clayton County
10	area.
11	Q And just to be clear, and correct me if my
12	understanding is mistaken, are you referring to a
13	GNETS classroom that's embedded in a general
14	education facility?
15	A No. I believe the South so I'm
16	referring to the South Metro GNETS.
17	Q Understood.
18	A I believe those are GNETS schools, not a
19	classroom. I believe they are.
20	Q Standalone GNETS centers?
21	A I believe so.
22	Q Has Derrick Gilchrist ever joined, to your
23	knowledge, a meeting of the school-based behavioral
24	health collaborative?
25	A No.



1	Q Are you ever in contact with the Georgia
2	Advocacy Office?
3	A No.
4	Q Are you ever in contact with any, any
5	staff at the Georgia ASO Collaborative?
6	A No.
7	Q Are you ever in contact with any staff at
8	the Georgia ombudsperson for children?
9	A No.
10	Q Ms. Desai, I understand that you have a
11	master's in social work. Do you have any other
12	training as a researcher beyond that master's
13	degree?
14	A My undergraduate degree was also heavily
15	focused on research and evaluation.
16	I, I did a lot of work with professors
17	that were engaged in research.
18	Q Anything else?
19	A All of my previous positions have, have
20	always been in the research and evaluation field,
21	and specifically within children's behavioral
22	health.
23	Q Are you referring to the previous
24	positioning at the Center of Excellence?
25	A No. I'm well, yes, but I'm also



1	including my time at ICF, my time at my previous
2	employment as well, and then the research and
3	evaluation work I did as a graduate research
4	assistant while pursuing my master's and my
5	bachelor's.
6	MR. HOLKINS: Let's take a longer break,
7	maybe 10 or 15 minutes, however much time you
8	need.
9	So aim for around 11:15. Is that okay?
10	MS. JOHNSON: Okay.
11	MR. HOLKINS: All right.
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 10:58
13	a.m.
14	(A recess was taken.)
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
16	record at 11:22 a.m.
17	BY MR. HOLKINS:
18	Q Ms. Desai, I just have a couple more
19	questions for you regarding the contract from FY20
20	that we discussed before the break and then we're
21	going to move on.
22	You don't actually need to pull it up, but
23	I'm just curious whether those contracts reflect all
24	of the projects the COE is undertaking for DBHDD?
25	A Under the OCYF contract?

A The basis for that understanding is just kind of my knowledge of the, the GNETS program, like what it's -- the program description. As well as just my knowledge and understanding of children's behavioral health, social/emotional disturbances, level of need of students, and kind of the community



20

21

22

23

24

Т	supports and services that can be put into place	·
2	Q You referenced a program description f	or
3	the GNETS program. Which one are you referring	to?
4	A GNETS.	
5	Q No. But which program description? V	Mere
6	did you read that?	
7	A Oh, I mean initially when I took on th	ne
8	project, just Goggling it. Just Goggling what i	S
9	GNETS, what does the acronym stand for.	
10	Q You say when you initially took on the	<u> </u>
11	project. Is that the Apex project?	
12	A No.	
13	Q The GNETS?	
14	A Uh-huh. (Affirmative.)	
15	Q So let's go back and talk about that.	Can
16	you describe what that project was?	
17	THE WITNESS: Oh, the audio isn't on f	or
18	those listening remotely.	
19	I'll hit unmute.	
20	Q Let me go ahead and reask that.	
21	I think you referenced the GNETS proje	ect
22	that you worked on, right?	
23	A Correct.	
24	Q Could you describe what that project	
25	entailed?	



March 29, 2022

A Sure. So that project was between the Center of Excellence, COE, and the South Metro GNETS.

This project took place quite a few years ago, so I'm just doing the best I can to recall all of the information related to it.

So I believe South Metro GNETS is within the Clayton County geographic area. We partnered with South Metro GNETS and I believe the main point of contact was Derrick Gilchrist.

That project was initiated by two of my colleagues. I was not -- I was not -- I was not staffed for it initially. It was a three-year project, and it was meant to understand the kids receiving supports in the schools, if they -- I believe there was individual student level data collection, and there was also understanding of exactly what supports and services the children were receiving, to kind of just know what -- you know, what I guess the dosage, the amount of services, what services, things like that, that they were receiving.

And so it was a three-year project. My participation in that project was just in the very final three months, and that is because the main



1	point of contact for that project at our Center
2	transitioned to another job, and in the last three
3	months, really, it was just coming in to kind of do
4	a final, final analysis of what data we did have,
5	and then to produce a report for it.
6	And so, as I said, my participation is
7	you know, just came in the last three months of the
8	three-year.
9	Q So thank you very much for the
10	explanation.
11	I'm going to ask you specific questions
12	about your answer. The first is, when was this
13	project initiated?
14	A I believe 2016, 2017.
15	Q And when did your involvement start?
16	A I believe it was in 2019.
17	Q Who was the lead on that project for the
18	Center of Excellence?
19	A So that body of work I don't think
20	there was one lead. I think there were two
21	co-leads, because we were supporting two activities.
22	Evaluation certainly. So the lead for that was
23	Susan McLaren.
24	And there was also there was also a

workforce focus in that wraparound, was the



1	framework intervention that was being that was
2	kind of informing how the students were receiving
3	services. And so that's Astrid Pruett. She was the
4	lead for that piece of the work.
5	Q What was the genesis of this project? Did
6	this GNETS center approach COE or did you approach
7	the GNETS center?
8	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form, and it
9	misstates the testimony, to the extent you're
10	referring to a GNETS center.
11	Q What's the name of the GNETS program that
12	this project involved?
13	A South Metro GNETS.
14	Q Okay. Is South Metro GNETS a GNETS
15	center?
16	A I I don't know if it's a center. I
17	think maybe it's a GNETS standalone facility.
18	Q Is, to your knowledge, South Metro a GNETS
19	standalone facility?
20	A I believe so.
21	Q Did South Metro GNETS approach the COE
22	about initiating this project?
23	A I am unclear exactly how the project came
24	to be. It was a collaboration in conversation

between COE and South Metro GNETS, perhaps Derrick



Gilchrist, but I am unclear technically who 1 2 initiated, who initiated it. Did the Center of Excellence visit the 3 0 South Metro GNETS facility in connection with this 4 5 project? I don't know. 6 Α 7 Did you personally visit? Q 8 Α No. 9 0 Have you ever visited a GNETS facility? 10 Α No. 11 I believe you described that your role in 0 12 connection with this project was contributing to 13 final analysis in drafting a report. Is that 14 correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 Is that report public? 0 17 I am not sure. So if I recall, we drafted Α 18 We forwarded it to Derrick, and I a report. 19 remember a handful of other members that were copied 20 on that email, just because they were copied on 21 previous emails and were connected to the project. 22 And what I don't recall if -- I don't 23 recall if, if -- if that report -- like if he signed 24 off -- like if he approved that report and then it was considered final. And so for that reason I 25



1	don't recall. And then I think honestly the
2	pandemic happened, so.
3	Q Did you receive a response from Derrick
4	Gilchrist to that email sharing a draft of your
5	report?
6	A I believe I did receive an email
7	confirming that he had received it. I just don't
8	recall if he had feedback and I responded and then
9	we kind of then considered it final. I don't recall
10	that.
11	Q Would any of his feedback be reflected in
12	your email?
13	A Wouldn't his feedback be reflected in my
14	email? What do you mean?
15	Q If Derrick Gilchrist provided feedback on
16	this report in connection with this project, would
17	you expect to find that feedback in your email?
18	A I would expect that feedback to be
19	included in an attachment that would have been
20	included in the email.
21	Q Okay.
22	A It was a draft Word document. So
23	typically we review with track changes, and so I
24	don't necessarily necessarily know I would expect
25	feedback in the body of an email, but I would expect



1	some feedback in the document.
2	MS. COHEN: I'm just going to interject
3	right now. I'm hearing from folks that the
4	audio is not on for Zoom.
5	Are you miked up.
6	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
7	BY MR. HOLKINS:
8	Q Did you collect documents at the State's
9	direction in response to the United States discovery
10	in this matter?
11	A Did I collect I'm sorry. Can you
12	Q Did you collect documents at the State's
13	direction in response to the United States discovery
14	requests in this matter?
15	A We're transitioning back to Apex? Are we
16	leaving the GNETS conversation.
17	Q I'm just asking you broadly about whether
18	you collected any documents, including email, at the
19	State's direction in response to the United States
20	discovery request in this matter?
21	A I have received requests for documents for
22	my, for my for participation in the Apex project.
23	Q Have you collected any email in response
24	to the United States discovery requests?
25	A I have not I have not been requested to



	submit	any	emails.
--	--------	-----	---------

- Q Okay. What was the subject of your analysis in connection with this pilot?
 - A Which pilot?
- Q Excuse me. The project, the South Metro GNETS facility, what was your -- the subject of your final analysis?
- A So we were -- again, we were studying -we were studying the services that they were
 receiving, so the description in nature as well as
 the quantity, and I believe there -- so that's with
 what's connected to the wraparound services that
 they were receiving.
- And I believe it was also meant to include some individual level data. I don't remember the specifics of the individual level data.
- In the end, the evaluation did not collect a lot of data. We did not have a lot of data.
 - Q Why not?
 - A I don't know for sure. I can presume.
- The, the kids were difficult to maintain contact with. It was difficult getting multiple points of data, and it was difficult to follow kids and receive data at initiation of services, six months, five months. I'm not -- I'm not sure what



the frequency was, but there was a challenge in 1 2 maintaining contact with the students. 3 So I presume that that was a factor. I also believe staff turnover was a 4 5 challenge as well, and I think staff turnover from, you know, from the staff that was supporting the 6 7 students but also the staff that was supporting the 8 program, if you will. I think there was some staff 9 turnover. So that I think presented a challenge as 10 well. 11 0 Are you referring to GNETS staff? 12 I'm referring to staff that was connected Α 13 with Derrick Gilchrist. So maybe that is -- that 14 staff might be, you know, administrative. 15 And then I'm referring to -- I think those 16 individuals that were then more directly connected 17 with the supports and services being delivered. 18 What efforts were made by the COE to 0 19 contact the children at the GNETS facility at South 20 Metro? 21 Objection to the extent your MS. JOHNSON: 22 answer calls for speculation. 23 You can answer.

MR. HOLKINS: I'm just going to go ahead

and have a side-bar right now.



24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To the extent that your objections are basically prejudging whether she is speculating or has knowledge, I request that you not do that.

Objections should be limited to form. Ιt should not include any instructions to the witness.

MS. JOHNSON: She's the -- she has established she was only there for the last three months of the program, so she wouldn't have personal knowledge of what efforts the COE made to reach out to the students.

It's not your position to MR. HOLKINS: state what she knows or does not know. her position to answer the questions that we pose to her.

Your objections should be limited to form. Your objection.

MS. JOHNSON: We haven't, we haven't agreed to reserve all objections except to form until first use of the trial. We haven't made that agreement. So if we want to do that now, Otherwise, I'm going to continue objecting.

MR. HOLKINS: So the federal rules do not



1	permit speaking objections, correct?
2	MS. JOHNSON: No.
3	MR. HOLKINS: Okay.
4	MS. JOHNSON: So do you want me to limit
5	my objection to just saying objection?
6	MR. HOLKINS: I want your objections to
7	comply with the federal rules.
8	MS. JOHNSON: Okay.
9	MR. HOLKINS: Okay.
10	BY MR. HOLKINS:
11	Q So let's continue.
12	What efforts were made by the Center of
13	Excellence to contact students at the South Metro
14	GNETS facility?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
16	You can answer.
17	A I am I am not completely aware. I
18	would presume I would presume not many because my
19	recollection of the evaluation did not include us
20	directly reaching out to the students. We were
21	connecting with staff that we were connected to via
22	Derrick Gilchrist, who were then meant to collect
23	the data.
24	So even the very little data we received
25	was not directly connected with us and the student.

1	It was, it was shared with it was, it was it
2	was shared with us. It was forwarded to us.
3	Q So just to make clear, the question was,
4	what contact did OCE have directly with students
5	enrolled at South Metro GNETS? What's your answer
6	to that question?
7	A I am not aware of any contact. But I
8	don't know because, again, I I was only on for
9	the last three months to wrap up evaluation
10	activities. So what was done previous to that, I
11	don't have knowledge.
12	Q Would Susan McLaren have knowledge about
13	that topic?
14	A She may.
15	Q What were your findings in this report?
16	A I believe the best word I can use is
17	inconclusive. Just because of a lack of, lack of
18	enough data to by able to draw really any
19	conclusion.
20	Q So you drew no conclusions regarding the
21	services being provided to students enrolled in the

22

MS. JOHNSON: Object.

24

You can answer.

South Metro GNETS facility?

25

A I, I don't recall. That report was



1	written so long ago nothing is standing out.
2	Q The report was written in 2020, correct?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Object.
4	A I think it was 2019. I don't recall.
5	Q You joined in 2019; is that right?
6	A No. I joined in 2017.
7	Q That's when you first started working on
8	the project?
9	A No. That's when I joined the Center.
10	Q I'm sorry. Just to by clear, you started
11	working on the South Metro GNETS project in 2019,
12	correct?
13	A Correct.
14	Q And when was the report submitted to
15	Derrick Gilchrist?
16	A I believe 2019.
17	Q And so you have no recollection sitting
18	here today of whether you drew any conclusions
19	regarding the services received by GNETS enrolled
20	students at the South Metro facility?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
22	A I, I don't believe we I don't believe
23	we did because, as I stated, we didn't have a lot of
24	data and we didn't have a lot of matched data and

so we felt -- we didn't feel like we had enough



We -- if I recall, we -- and I, I am

almost sure that is included in the report that, you

know, that there was some limitations.

Q What data specifically did you feel like you needed but did not have in order to reach conclusions in that report?

A So as part of receiving wraparound services, there is a -- kind of, um, like -- what's the word? Recommended.

There is a recommended way in which you follow up with students receiving services. That includes reaching out to -- that includes receiving information and data from the parent, from the specific social worker that I think is, is managing the case management of services, and things like that.

And so there's -- within this recommendation, I think there is a report from, I want to say, three or four individuals that are kind of engaged in, in helping this child.

And I recall inconsistency in receiving -so, so individually those reports then come together
to kind of create, um -- I don't want to use the
word profile, but they come together to create one



1	kind of data point for the child, based on these
2	different perspectives.
3	If I recall, we didn't have enough data to
4	be able to really kind of understand and make a
5	conclusion.
6	Q What specifically what data did you
7	need to be able to draw those conclusions around
8	implementation of wraparound?
9	A So we needed those we needed, we needed
10	there's a technical word I'm not recalling at the
11	moment. But it's, again, as the wraparound
12	intervention, there is a specific there's
13	guidance around who is meant to submit the data, how
14	frequently. That just didn't happen with fidelity,
15	I guess, to what is recommended when you're
16	implementing wraparound services.
17	Q Did you not receive data from parents?
18	A I don't remember specifically, but I
19	believe we did not.
20	Q Did you not receive data from service
21	providers in the GNETS facilities?
22	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
23	A I don't remember specifically, but I know
24	all of these pieces coming together didn't quite
25	include all of the perspectives.



So I don't remember specifically with each child in each case, whether the parent was missing or whether the social worker was missing. But across the cases, yes, parents were missing, social workers were missing.

Q Do you recall what efforts the Center of Excellence made to contact the parents of students enrolled in the South Metro GNETS facility?

A So, again, if I'm recalling the scope, we -- I don't believe the project was designed to where we were -- we were engaged in primary data collection.

So what you just said is primary data collection. I am reaching out to someone to receive the data.

I believe my recollection of this project is our role was in secondary data collection, which means I am not -- I am not receiving the data; the data is being forwarded to me.

Q Understood. Understand. Go ahead.

A So for that purpose then, I -- we -- if I recall the project and the scope, we, we were not reaching out to the students. We were not reaching out directly to the parents.

That coordination was happening at that



1	project level, and we were just receiving the data.
2	Q Was Derrick Gilchrist providing that data
3	as the primary data collector, to use your words?
4	A Yes. I don't think it was him
5	specifically, but, yes, he was the main point of
6	contact, who was then coordinating that data being
7	compiled and sent to us, yes.
8	Q And did the COE share its concerns about
9	the inadequacy of the data collected with Derrick
10	Gilchrist before sending its final report?
11	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
12	A I didn't say inaccuracy of data. I just
13	said that there wasn't enough data. I can't speak
14	to the accuracy.
15	Q To be clear, I was asking about I said
16	inadequacy, not inaccuracy.
17	A Oh, I'm sorry.
18	Q That's okay.
19	A I misheard you.
20	Q That's fine.
21	A Can you restate the question?
22	Q Sure. Did the Center of Excellence reach
23	out to Derrick Gilchrist about its concerns
24	regarding the adequacy of the data collected before
25	submitting the final draft report to Mr. Gilchrist?



1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. And what did you hear back from
3	Derrick Gilchrist?
4	A So I think that's where if I'm
5	recalling the timeline correctly, we had maybe one,
6	two we had a few exchanges where we were
7	expressing, you know where we were expressing
8	that we were feeling like we didn't have enough
9	data, and we did that through verbal exchanges, and
10	he very much attempted to, you know, to connect with
11	his project staff to collect it, to compile it.
12	If I recall, I know one of the delays in,
13	in kind of getting that even kind of
14	communicating it out and then getting a little bit
15	of data, I believe one of the delays was he had a
16	death on his team, and I do believe that that person
17	was directly involved with this project. And I
18	think an untimely death, so.
19	I think that for sure challenged the
20	situation.
21	Q Who participated in these conversations
22	around the adequacy of the data in connection with
23	this project?

Susan McLaren and myself. From the COE



A

24

25

side.

March 29, 2022 93

And who participated from South 1 Okav. 2 Metro, aside from Derrick Gilchrist? 3 Α So I cannot recall the specific names. 4 Because, again, I just came on in the last three 5 months of the project. So I don't recall the specific team 6 7 My main point of contact was Derrick members. 8 Gilchrist. 9 0 There were other members of his staff on 10 that call? 11 Α Yes. 12 You just don't recall who they were? 0 13 Α Correct. 14 MR. HOLKINS: I just want to put you on a 15 marker that we are going to request a copy of 16 the report that was sent to Derrick Gilchrist. 17 In 2019? 0 18 I believe. Α

- 19 Q You believe.
- MR. HOLKINS: We can follow up on that as responsive to the United States' existing document requests.
- 23 BY MR. HOLKINS:
- Q So let's step aside from this report.
- What are your ongoing responsibilities in



1	your official capacity at the Center of Excellence
2	with respect to the GNETS program?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
4	You can answer.
5	A There is none.
6	Q I'm sorry?
7	A I don't have any ongoing responsibilities.
8	That project ended, and the GNETS project ended,
9	and I have not had any further contact with that
10	project with Derrick.
11	Q Have you had any contact since this
12	project with South Metro GNETS facility with any
13	GNETS program?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
15	You can answer.
16	A Not to my knowledge.
17	Q What is your understanding of the
18	behavioral health services that are available for
19	students who are enrolled in GNETS?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
21	A Can you repeat the question?
22	Q What behavioral health services are
23	available to students enrolled in GNETS?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
25	A I can, I can only speak to my knowledge of



March 29, 2022

what was to be included in the GNETS project, which 1 2 is wraparound services, wraparound supports. 3 I can't speak to what else is being offered in GNETS facilities. 4 Do you meet with anyone at OCYF regarding 5 GNETS on a regular basis? 6 7 Α No. 8 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 9 0 Do you know Nakeba Rahming, R-A-H-M-I-N-G. 10 Nakeba Rahming, do you know her? 11 Α No. No. Just a little bit louder for the record. 12 0 13 Α Sorry. 14 Do you know Debbie Gay? 0 15 Α I believe that's the name I was trying to 16 remember. Maybe Debbie Gay. Was she with DOE? 17 0 I can't answer your questions. 18 Α Oh. 19 Is it your understanding Ms. Gay worked 0 20 for the Department of Education? 21 I know it's a name I have Α I'm not sure. 22 -- so I -- I've not met this person but I've seen 23 this name. I'm not sure. I just -- I know I've 24 seen this name before and I've heard of it before. 25 I don't want to misspeak in placing her because I'm

1	not sure.	
2	Q	Do you know Clara Keith?
3	A	I have not heard of that name before.
4	Q	Have you heard of the name Zelphine
5	Smith-Dix	on?
6	A	No.
7	Q	And just to confirm your prior testimony,
8	you've nev	ver visited a GNETS facility; is that
9	accurate?	
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	Have you ever provided training or
12	technical	assistance to staff at GNETS facilities?
13		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
14	A	I personally have not.
15	Q	Do you know if anyone at the Center of
16	Excellence	e has provided training to staff at any
17	GNETS fac:	ility?
18		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
19	A	I do not know.
20	Q	Just give me one second. I apologize for
21	the delay	•
22	A	No problem.
23		(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-29 was
24	mar	ked for identification.)
25		



1	BY MR. HOLKINS:
2	Q Ms. Desai, you've just been handed what
3	was previously marked as Exhibit 29. Please take a
4	moment to familiarize yourself with the document and
5	let me know when you're finished.
6	There's no need to read every line.
7	MR. HOLKINS: For the record, this is
8	GA00581815.
9	(Witness reviews exhibits.)
10	Q So I'll note this is an email chain
11	between Dante McKay and Nakeba Rahming, from 2016.
12	This was before you joined the Center of
13	Excellence, correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. Jana Aldrich Pruett, that is an
16	individual who still works at the Center of
17	Excellence, correct?
18	A No.
19	Q Okay.
20	A She has moved on.
21	Q When did she leave the Center of
22	Excellence?
23	A 2021.
24	Q Did Ms. Pruett have responsibilities with
25	respect to the Apex program?



1	A She did.
2	Q And was that the case in 2020, in 2021,
3	before she left?
4	A Yes, but significantly less in, in scope.
5	Q So as you'll see from Nakeba Rahming's
6	initial email to Dante and this is on Page 2 at
7	the bottom. This is the email dated May 26, 2016.
8	Do you see where I am?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Nakeba Rahming reaches out to Dante to let
11	him know that "I am working on a trauma informed
12	care service delivery models for GNETS. I wanted to
13	know if DBHDD has been doing any work in this area
14	and if so can we collaborate on things related to
15	it."
16	Do you see that text?
L7	A I do.
18	Q Back on Page 1, Nakeba Rahming, in
19	response to Dante's email, writes on May 26, 2016:
20	"I will reach out the folks at Georgia Center of
21	Excellence because I see the trauma informed care
22	listed in that section."
23	Do you see that text?
24	A I do.
25	O Are you aware of any contact between



1	Nakeba Rahming and employees of the Center of
2	Excellence regarding implementing a trauma informed
3	mechanism at GNETS?
4	A I am not.
5	Q And to the best of your knowledge, is the
6	Center of Excellence currently providing any
7	assistance to GNETS facilities in implementing a
8	trauma informed care service delivery model?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
10	A Not to my knowledge.
11	Q I know that you have explained that you
12	excuse me.
13	I know you have testified previously you
14	have not visited any GNETS facilities. I'm curious
15	whether that's also true for the staff you directly
16	supervise in the Center of Excellence?
17	A To my knowledge, no.
18	Q To your knowledge, has Susan McLaren ever
19	visited a GNETS facility?
20	A To my knowledge, no. But I don't know
21	because I wasn't around when the GNETS project was
22	initiated. So as part of that project, I'm not sure
23	if they met I don't know. Yeah.
24	Q As part of your duties at the Center of

Excellence, do you regularly receive data or



1	documents showing referrals to the GNETS program?
2	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
3	A Can you repeat the question?
4	Q In the course of your regular duties at
5	the Center of Excellence, do you review any data or
6	documentation showing the number of referrals to
7	GNETS?
8	A No.
9	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
10	Q Do you review as part of your regular
11	duties at the COE any data or documentation showing
12	length of placement for students enrolled in GNETS?
13	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
14	A No.
15	Q As part of your regular duties at the
16	Center of Excellence, do you review any data or
17	documentation showing the availability of behavioral
18	health services to students enrolled in GNETS?
19	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
20	A Can you repeat the question?
21	Q As part of your regular duties at the
22	Center of Excellence, do you review any data or
23	documentation with respect to the availability of
24	behavioral health services to students enrolled in
25	GNETS?



1	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
2	A Not to my knowledge.
3	Q In your regular duties at the Center of
4	Excellence, do you review any data or documentation
5	showing utilization of behavioral health services by
6	children enrolled in GNETS?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
8	A Not to my knowledge.
9	Q In your regular duties at the Center of
10	Excellence, do you review any data or documentation
11	showing staffing levels at GNETS facilities?
12	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
13	A Not to my knowledge.
14	Not to my knowledge.
15	Q Thank you very much.
16	In your regular duties at the Center of
17	Excellence, do you review any data or documentation
18	with respect to coordination between GNETS programs
19	and community service providers in the State of
20	Georgia?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
22	A No, not on a regular basis. No.
23	Q Ever?
24	A I, I I have so I have, I have
25	recollection of sitting here today I can recall



1	one time where we were unsure the placement of a
2	student, and that was because in the way that we
3	receive our reporting, it's at a school level, and
4	if I recall, I believe at that time the name of a
5	school had been typed in, likely because that
6	provider was submitting a report for the first time
7	at that school. Otherwise, our school list is
8	pre-populated. And there was some discrepancy in
9	the way the name was entered.
10	And so there was confusion on what school

And so there was confusion on what school was meant to be reported, and it was between -- it was a possibility of A and B, and we just weren't sure.

And so I believe, and this was some time ago, but I believe we, we, you know, kind of picked up on, on that. And so attempted to work with the provider to identify, okay, which school did you mean to report. And, and -- and so I believe that that is the one call at this moment that I'm recalling that we worked with the CSB to kind of identify exactly what the situation was.

Q Okay. What Community Service Board was that?

A If I recall, I believe it was Serenity Behavioral Health Services, I think is the name.



1	Q I know I asked you about whether you
2	regularly review a variety of data with respect to
3	GNETS. I'm going to go through these questions
4	again, and I'll try to do this quickly, and ask
5	whether as part of your duties at the Center of
6	Excellence you ever review data or documentation
7	with respect to enrollment in GNETS?
8	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
9	A No, not to my knowledge.
10	Q Do you ever review data showing length of
11	placement for students enrolled in GNETS?
12	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
13	A No. Not to my knowledge.
14	Q Do you ever review data showing the
15	availability of behavioral health services to
16	students enrolled in GNETS?
17	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
18	A No, not to my knowledge.
19	Q Do you ever review data or documentation
20	with respect to the utilization of behavioral health
21	services by students enrolled in GNETS?
22	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
23	A No.
24	Q Do you ever review data with respect to
25	transition planning for students who are exiting the



1	GNETS program?
2	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
3	A No.
4	MS. COHEN: Repeat that.
5	MS. JOHNSON: No.
6	MS. COHEN: You're shaking your head
7	rather than saying the word out loud. So I
8	just wanted to make sure.
9	I was worried it would get swallowed up
10	with Melanie's objections.
11	Wanda is very experienced, so I'm sure she
12	took it down, but I just wanted to make sure
13	the word came out.
14	MR. HOLKINS: Thanks, Frannie.
15	BY MR. HOLKINS:
16	Q What role, if any, does the Center of
17	Excellence play in monitoring coordination between
18	community service providers and GNETS programs?
19	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
20	A Not any, to my knowledge.
21	Q Does the Center of Excellence conduct any
22	analysis of gaps in behavioral health services that
23	may have contributed to children being placed in
24	GNETS?
25	A Not



1	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
2	A to my knowledge.
3	Q Have you made any written recommendations
4	relating to mental health services available in
5	GNETS, aside from the report that we discussed with
6	respect to the South Metro GNETS program?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
8	A Not to my knowledge, no.
9	MR. HOLKINS: So I think this is a good
10	time for our lunch break, and I think we can
11	take an hour, starting around now, until 10
12	after 1:00.
13	Is that okay?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Yeah.
15	MR. HOLKINS: Let me just quickly check
16	with Fran to see if she has any questions
17	before we go off the record.
18	MS. COHEN: No, I don't.
19	MR. HOLKINS: Let's take our lunch break
20	now.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at
22	12:09 p.m.
23	(A recess was taken.)
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
25	record at 1:11 p.m.



1	BY MR. HOLKINS:
2	Q Welcome back, Ms. Desai.
3	A Thank you.
4	Q I'd like to ask you some questions about
5	the Apex program, which is something we already
6	talked about today.
7	What is the Georgia Apex program?
8	A The Georgia Apex program is a school-based
9	mental health initiative funded by the Department of
10	Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities.
11	It partners with community-based providers
12	across the State to place a therapist in schools and
13	deliver therapeutic support.
L4	Q And when you say community-based partners,
15	are you referring specifically to community service
16	boards?
L7	A Community-based partners is inclusive of
18	Community Service Boards, as well as the I
L9	believe I'm saying this right the non-CSB Tier 2
20	providers, in the way that DBHDD organizes their
21	safety network.
22	Q What's your understanding of why the Apex
23	program was created?

So it precedes me, but I have been told

that it was -- it was kind of the passion project of



Α

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

a previous OCYF director, that is no longer with the department anymore.

And that individual was I believe a

therapist in -- working with schools in a previous job, and at the time, in 2015, there was a little bit of, I believe, some funding that maybe perhaps had not been earmarked. So he shared the idea of developing a program that could place providers and therapists to deliver, to deliver services, acknowledging what we know in terms of access to individuals receiving and -- you know, secured services.

- Q You said -- I just want to make sure I understand. You testified acknowledging what we know in terms of access to services? Is that right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What do you mean --
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q What do you mean by "what we know"?
- A So when I say what we know, I'm referring to some of what's in the research base as well as the literature which you, which you seek to understand why some kids are not able to secure the services that they need.
 - Transportation is a big barrier to



1	accessing services. So the fact that a therapist is
2	placed directly in the school, a natural environment
3	where the child spends so much of their time,
4	acknowledges the challenge of accessing services.
5	MS. COHEN: I'm sorry. Did you say
6	research base or research space?
7	THE WITNESS: Research base.
8	A Research base, the literature you know,
9	the body of literature in terms of what are some
10	access to securing services.
11	Q You mentioned transportation as a
12	particular obstacle to accessing service. Are there
13	others?
14	A Yes. Others, in addition to
15	transportation, is, you know, caregivers are
16	employed often and don't have some times the
17	flexibility to continue to take time off work, step
18	away from their jobs and their roles to take a child
19	to therapeutic, you know to take a child to see a
20	therapist.
21	So that also is a barrier.
22	Q Are there any other barriers that come to
23	mind?
24	A Sometimes there's just sometimes
25	another barrier is simply identification of a need.



1	You know, oftentimes you feel like something is off
2	but you're not quite sure and you need someone that
3	has some credentials to maybe do an assessment to
4	understand if a kid is in need of behavioral health
5	services and supports.
6	So that can be an access, too, being able
7	to connect with the right professional to be able to
8	do that. So that's another barrier.
9	Q Okay. I believe you stated that Apex is
10	funded by DBHDD; is that correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Is that using state general funds?
13	A So currently Apex is funded through let
14	me make sure I get this language right.
15	But it's a single it's a single it's
16	a single so DBHDD is a sole funder, but the
17	funding streams, there's three funding streams, if
18	you will. And the way we refer to it is base
19	funding, and then there's, there's two other kind of
20	streams, one that was invested by Governor Deal, and
21	a third that was by Governor Kemp.

- Q Okay. So let's just go through those one by one.
 - The base funding that you just described, was that the initial funding made available for the



1	Apex prog	ram?
2	А	Correct.
3	Q	Is that state general funds?
4	А	I believe so.
5	Q	And then the second funding source you
6	described	in connection with Governor Deal, is that
7	state gene	eral funds?
8	А	I believe so.
9	Q	And then the third funding source you
10	described	in connection with Governor Kemp, is that
11	also state	e general funds?
12	А	I believe so.
13	Q	In the documents you'll see references to
14	Apex 1.0,	Apex 2.0.
15		Could you help me understand what that
16	means?	
L7	А	Apex 1.0 is based funding, so that's the
18	first item	m that I mentioned.
19		2.0 is Governor Deal's investment.
20	Q	And is 3.0 Kemp?
21	А	Governor Kemp, correct.
22	Q	Is it your understanding that DBHDD has
23	direct re	sponsibility over the Apex program?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	And within DBHDD, OCYF is the component?



contract between COE and DBHDD?

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Is it accurate that the Center of
3	Excellence	, the Center of Excellence's role with
4	respect to	the Apex program is described in the

A Yes.

5

6

20

21

22

23

- Q To your knowledge, are there entities

 8 outside of DBHDD and COE that have responsibilities

 9 relating to Apex?
- 10 A I would say those that are funded. Of the
 11 CSBs and the -- you know, they have some
 12 responsibility as well to the program.
- 2 So the providers --
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q -- that are enrolled in Apex have 16 responsibilities?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Do schools likewise have responsibilities
 19 under Apex?
 - A The way the funding is kind of deployed, if you will, it is DBHDD directly funding the community service providers to go forward -- to go into their communities and, you know, identify schools to implement programming within.
- 25 So when I think about the program sort of



organized in that way, I don't necessarily include schools in that.

- Q So just to make sure I understand your testimony, do schools have responsibilities directly to DBHDD in connection with the Apex program?
 - A No.

- Q Are there specific eligibility criteria for youth to be able to receive Apex services?
- A So, you know, providers identify schools that they are going to partner with to implement Apex services. So I guess the first eligibility is a student needs to reside in one of the schools that they're implementing Apex in.
- And then once a provider is in the school in terms of eligibility, you know, it's going to be based on demonstrated need. Some students need lesser levels of support and some need higher level of support.
- Q And just to loop back to something you said, if I understand your testimony correctly, if a child is not residing in an area where Apex has been implemented, they're not eligible to receive the service; is that accurate?
- A Not with -- not -- so that's accurate.

 Not with Apex services that are being



1 implemented in schools, correct

- Q So they could receive services outside of Apex?
- 4 A Right. So -- right, right. Yeah
- 5 Because the Community Service Boards that are
- 6 funded, they are, they are -- they're independent
- 7 | clinical agencies, right. So they have their agency
- 8 | site. It's the therapist that's being collocated,
- 9 if you will, in a school setting.
- 10 So, right.

3

20

21

22

23

24

- 11 Q You mentioned Apex entailing collocation
- 12 of a therapist in a school setting, correct?
- A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
- Q Are there other clinical staff that are collocated in school settings through the Apex program?
- 17 A So each, each provider really kind of, I
 18 would say, organizes that, you know, based on the
 19 staff that they have, based on acuity of need.
 - So what I mean is if it's a really high needs school, then it's not unusual for a provider to identify a licensed therapist to be dedicated to a school, and then perhaps like a community support individual, like a CS-I worker.
 - And so that person may not necessarily be



providing that intensive individual therapy but might be acting as, you know, case management or, you know, connecting the student with other services and supports that are needed.

Q Okay. And so I understand from your response that in addition to therapists, Community Support - Individual, that staff category can be collocated through the Apex program?

A Can be. As well as certified peer support as well can, can also be included in the Apex program.

Q So you referred to a really high needs school in your testimony. What distinguishes a high needs school versus another school?

A So high need would be, you know, having, having a large population of students needing that more targeted -- needing more individual support, individual therapy.

So the way we kind of think about it, and again this is grounded in literature and, you know, in sort of the research precedent that's, that's in the space, is that services and supports can be provided across three tiers, and the bottom rung of a triangle, if you can imagine it, is the, is Tier 1, and that is when you're in a school implementing



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- an activity or, you know, curricula that's meant to 1 2 benefit whole school. It's meant to benefit 3 everyone in the entire school. And if you have some 4 kids that need just a little bit more kind of 5 support, then sometimes, you know, some needs can be met at that level. 6 7 So, let's say, a school decides to
 - implement some specific SEL curricula, social/emotional learning curriculum, then that might acknowledge some needs for some students.
 - I think best practice says that that might acknowledge -- I want to say it's like 80 percent of the kids in a school might be -- their needs might be addressed by something that is a universal provision.
 - And you've got the second tier where you have a smaller group of kids that need a little bit more support. They need some connection but they don't need me one-on-one. They need maybe some group format. That's when you think about like group work.
 - So our providers go into schools and they support doing groups. So maybe it's on a topic that's relevant for the community there, school community, larger community, bullying, suicide.



They likely may partner with a counselor in the school or another social worker and they might do something like that together. That then addresses, you know, provides a higher need than what's provided in Tier 1, to a smaller group of kids.

Then at that very top tier, which is Tier 3, that's when you're doing that one-on-one intensive intervention therapy. So, you know, we think three to five percent of kids need that type of level of support.

Now, having organized it in that way, there are some schools that have a very high need for Tier 3 supports, and so that I think is also taken consideration.

O Thank you.

I had asked you about eligibility criteria for Apex. I just want to take a really quick detour and ask you whether you're familiar with eligibility criteria for the GNETS program?

A I am not.

Q Do you know if children or adolescents can be excluded from eligibility for the Apex program on the basis of their diagnosis?

A No.



Q They cannot? Or you just don't know? I'm sorry.

A Yeah, I -- I mean I'm -- I don't know and I'm trying to think of a scenario. Like -- and I'm trying to think of a scenario that if a student had X diagnosis, would a therapist say, no, I can't see you.

I'm not aware. I mean I know that, you know, the therapist is there to provide that direct interaction, and if there is a situation that presents itself that they feel, for whatever reason, they cannot offer the appropriate support, then -- you know, again, they come from an agency that has a lot of other therapists, right.

So it's -- the infrastructure of the program is nice in that if that individual therapist in that specific school cannot meet the needs, they have access to other resources within their like, I don't know, host -- I don't want to say host agency, but like the agency they come from.

Q Let me ask it this way: Are there any categorical exclusions from Apex eligibility based on diagnosis?

A I --

Q I'm sorry. I just want to reask that



because I want to make it a little bit more 1 2 specific. 3 Are there any categorical exclusions from Apex eligibility based on behavioral health 4 5 diagnosis? Α I'm pausing because I'm not sure where 6 7 autism fits in. I'm not, I'm not sure. Like I'm 8 not -- I'm not remembering if, if that diagnosis --9 I'm not saying that it would preclude them, but if 10 additional supports and services might need to be 11 supplemented. I'm not recalling right now. 12 What additional services and supports 0 13 beyond what's available through Apex would be necessary to meet the needs of a child with autism? 14 15 Well, I think supplementing with some Α 16 additional learning supports and services might be 17 necessary behind -- beyond like behavioral health. 18 Like supports for their learning, growth in their 19 learning. 20 Like, uh -- what I'm trying to say. 21 like -- I don't know, like occupational therapy. 22 That's what I mean. Additional services that might 23 support, you know, increasing their ability to learn 24 and things like that.

Thank you for that information.



Q

don't think is something I asked about before,



1	and I'll just reask it so that it's clear for
2	the record.
3	BY MR. HOLKINS:
4	Q Are children with behavioral health
5	conditions who have a history of out-of-home
6	placements categorically excluded from participating
7	in any Apex services?
8	A Not to my knowledge.
9	Q Does DBHDD allow Apex providers to serve
10	students enrolled in GNETS?
11	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
12	A I can only speak from the policy that they
13	have, you know, released and shared with providers,
14	and that is that Apex, Apex providers do not
15	implement programming in GNETS standalone
16	facilities.
L7	Q Do you know the reason for that policy?
18	A I, I can presume to know. I don't know
19	for sure but I can
20	Q What is your understanding?
21	A Um, so my understanding is the level of
22	care and the services and supports that a student
23	needs you know, the fact that you are in a GNETS
24	setting indicates that you are needing higher level



of supports and services.

And I -- it's my understanding that, you 1 2 know, what an Apex provider -- they're in need of 3 something more than what an Apex provider can 4 They have higher levels of needs. I think -- I think that's -- I mean I think that is 5 some of it --6 7 What is the --Q 8 Α -- to my understanding. 9 -- for your belief that children enrolled 10 in GNETS have higher needs than Apex providers are 11 able to meet? 12 Objection. MS. JOHNSON: 13 You can answer. 14 My understanding of GNETS -- my Α 15 understanding of a child moving from their general 16 education is that -- general education setting, my understanding is that, you know, all supports and 17 18 accommodations have, have been made and the child is 19 still needing more. 20 So my understanding of how a student finds 21 themselves in a GNETS environment is that what can 22 be provided in their kind of homeschool has not 23 adequately met their needs and they're needing more.

So now they're in a GNETS facilities so that more



can be provided.

24

1	Q Do you track whether children who are
2	enrolled in GNETS receive Apex services before
3	enrolling in GNETS?
4	A Ask me that one more time.
5	Q I'll read it back.
6	Do you track whether children who are
7	enrolled in GNETS receive Apex services before they
8	enroll in GNETS?
9	A So we so Apex providers it is
10	possible for Apex providers to be providing services
11	to a student who either came from GNETS and they're
12	in their home. So we call it homeschool, like in
13	that situation.
14	So is it possible that a student was
15	previously in a GNETS environment and now is has
16	returned to their homeschool and now their name is
17	coming up on a referral for services and then they
18	go on to be seen? Yes, that's possible.
19	Is it possible that a student is receiving
20	services in a homeschool and then has a need for a
21	greater level of care and is then transitioned to a
22	GNETS environment? That child would continue to be
23	seen.
24	Q So I'm not asking you what's possible.

I'm asking you whether, as a matter of course, the



1	Center of Excellence tracks whether children who are
2	enrolled in GNETS received Apex services before they
3	enrolled in GNETS?
4	A That, that we do not have a we don't
5	currently have a question that would directly tell
6	us if a child we do not have that question.
7	Q So the answer is I'm sorry. Go ahead.
8	A The answer is no.
9	Q The answer is no?
10	A We do not have that.
11	Q Okay. Have you discussed adding that
12	question to your tracking materials?
13	A I don't believe we have.
14	And I'm just so we don't have uniquely
15	that question, "Did you come from a GNETS school?"
16	We do have questions of like referral,
17	right, and then we have we have an Other
18	category, where text entry can, can let us know.
19	But we do not have a unique question
20	Q Right.
21	A that asks that.
22	Q And just to be clear, the question that
23	I'm asking about whether you have, is whether
24	children, prior to enrolling in GNETS, received Apex

services, and I believe your testimony is you do not



have a field specifically for that question; is that 1 2 correct? 3 Α Correct. And you have not discussed developing that 4 5 precise field; is that correct? Not to my recollection. 6 Α 7 I want to return to the question of 0 8 the basis of your understanding that children 9 enrolled in GNETS had needs that cannot be met in 10 Apex. 11 Can you try again to explain what informs 12 your opinion that children who are in GNETS cannot 13 be served by Apex? 14 In my opinion, the, the level of -- I 15 think the level of need that, that I think I quess took them out of their homeschool and then put them 16 17 in a GNETS facility, I think the intensive --18 greater intensive support that's needed is, is I 19 think more than what an Apex therapist in the school 20 to support across Tiers 1, 2, and 3 can accommodate. 21 I understand your opinion, but I'm asking 0 22 what informs it? Have you reviewed data, documents? 23 Anything that would substantiate the opinion that

I, I -- no, I don't think there's data or



Α

you've just expressed?

24

documents. I think it's based on my knowledge of
my little knowledge that I had about GNETS coming
into the project, the three-month tenure that I had,
understanding the intervention that was being
implemented there, again wraparound.

Wraparound is a very intensive intervention. Our therapist -- so wraparound is a very intensive intervention, and I -- and the identification of why wraparound would be an appropriate intervention for that project in GNETS setting is what is kind of -- and that was based on literature. That was based on research, is what's forming my opinion that, that environment is needing greater intensive intervention than what an Apex provider is, is able to provide in a school setting.

Q So just to be clear, the basis for your opinion that children enrolled in GNETS have higher needs that can be met in Apex is that three-month project you did with South Metro GNETS; is that correct?

A More or less, yes.

Q And that's the same project we discussed earlier, where you shared -- they were not able to reach any conclusions because the data was inadequate, correct?



1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Okay.
3		Do you know whether Tier 1, 2, and 3
4	services a	are available in GNETS facilities?
5		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
6	A	I don't know.
7	Q	I have an exhibit that I would like to
8	share via	Zoom. If you give me one second, I'll
9	pull it up	o.
10		Can you see a document on your screen, Ms.
11	Desai?	
12	A	Yes.
13		MR. HOLKINS: I'll note for the record
14	this	document was produced by the State of
15	Georg	gia to the United States.
16		It's Bates stamped GA00789946.
17		I'd like to introduce this as Exhibit 46.
18		(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-46 was
19	mar}	ked for identification.)
20	BY MR. HOI	LKINS:
21	Q	At the top of this document, on the first
22	page is th	ne heading "Georgia Network for Educational
23	and Therag	peutic Supports, GNETS, FY18 Grant
24	Application	on."
25		And at the very top there's a logo for the



1	Georgia De	epartment of Education, and you'll see, Ms.
2	Desai, tha	at the applying entity here is Elam
3	Alexander	Academy.
4		Have you ever heard of that facility?
5	A	I have not.
6	Q	I'd like to direct you to Page 12 of this
7	document,	which should now, if you're on your
8	screen	do you see Page 12?
9	A	I do.
10	Q	So this document describes Elam
11	Alexander	's representation about Tier 1 services
12	that are 1	peing provided in its facility.
13		Do you see the text I'm referring to
14	generally	?
15	A	I do.
16	Q	I'd like to scroll now to Page 13, which
17	describes	Elam Alexander's representation as to the
18	Tier 2 se	rvices that it provides in its facility.
19		Do you see that?
20	A	I do.
21	Q	Scrolling down to Page 14, do you see the

text describing Elam Alexander's representation of

Does it surprise you to see that based on

the Tier 3 services provided in its facility?



I do.

Α

22

23

24

1	Elam Alexander's representation services across all
2	three tiers are offered in its facility?
3	A No.
4	Q It doesn't surprise you?
5	A No, because in my understanding of the way
6	in which schools identify levels of support, some of
7	it does look very similar to the school-based mental
8	health model that I'm referring to.
9	The language, some of the language is very
10	consistent, Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3. I think even
11	PBIS has a triangle, and some of that is very
12	consistent as well.
13	So I'm not surprised. I think, you know,
14	I think I think some I think there's some
15	nuances to it, but generally there's, there's a lot
16	of similarity.
17	Q Okay. And I just want to zoom in on one
18	particular tier of service, which is Tier 1. I've
19	gone back to Page 12.
20	Do you see where I am?
21	A Yes, yes.
22	Q The top of the page?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Could you review the description of Tier 1

services on this page, and then let me know when

1	you've finished.
2	A So you want me to read all of what's
3	listed under Tier 1 services?
4	Q Let me tell you why I'm asking you to read
5	it. That may be a good place to start.
6	I want to ask you about whether this
7	differs at all from the Tier 1 services you would
8	expect to see provided through Apex?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Do you mean each of the
10	categories, like PBIS, Mental Health, Second
11	Step? Is that all what you're considering Tier
12	1?
13	MR. HOLKINS: Yes.
14	BY MR. HOLKINS:
15	Q These are basically everything that you
16	see until the box labeled Tier 2 is the
L7	representation made by Elam Alexander of the Tier 1
18	services that are being provided in its facility.
19	So I just ask you read that material,
20	recognizing this may take a minute or two, and I
21	don't want to rush you, and just let me know where,
22	
	if anywhere, this diverges from what you would
23	if anywhere, this diverges from what you would expect to be provided in Tier 1 through Apex.



Α

Okay.

1	Q I'm sorry, I didn't realize you were
2	finished.
3	A That's okay.
4	Q So let me just reask the question.
5	Do the Tier 1 services described on Pages
6	12 and 13 of this document, Exhibit 46, differ from
7	what you would expect to see offered through Apex?
8	A So, yes. So let me explain why.
9	So when I think about when I think
LO	about our school-based framework and the triangle,
11	Tier 1, Tier 1 is, again, something that is meant
12	for whole school benefit, and Tier 1 is something
13	that our Apex therapists would be supporting.
14	So Tier 1 if I can say like in layman
15	terms, the onus of Tier 1 is really kind of on the
16	school and, and the school staff. So what I mean is
17	like an Apex therapist would be in support of an SEL
18	curricula but an Apex therapist isn't implementing
L9	an SEL curricula.
20	I don't know if I'm making myself clear.
21	Tier 1 is meant to benefit whole school,
22	and it is, it is something that an Apex therapist
23	would support. So maybe they're at the SEL
24	curricula meeting, they're helping to guide it, but

that person isn't taking the lead in any of that,



1 but they are a thought partner.

So that's really kind of the school taking the lead on what's happening at Tier 1, if that

4 makes sense.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

Here it says Tier 1 supports and services are provided daily through 100 percent of students. So that language is, in my understanding and interpretation, this Tier 1 is written from the perspective of what a school staff and personnel are meant to do, which makes sense, because this is a GDOE document. Our Apex -- Georgia Department of Education document.

Our Apex therapists are very much in support of this, but that's -- they're not doing this, this provided daily for 100, they're not doing that daily, right. They are there in support of these activities.

So that's where -- like I said, it's nuanced.

- Q Right. I understand the point.
- 21 A It's different.
- Q I understand the point you're making in terms of the services that are directly provided by Apex therapists.
- 25 A Right.



1 But Apex does encompass three tiers of 2 service, correct? 3 Α Correct. Including Tier 1 --4 0 5 Α Yes. -- which is -- as you described it --6 0 7 Supporting schools. Α 8 We can't talk over each other. It's okay. 0 It's fine. No worries. I saw where you were going. 9 10 We just have to wait our turn. 11 So Tier 1 services are the universal 12 intervention and awareness promotion services you 13 described earlier, right? 14 Uh-hum. Α Yes. 15 Are the kinds of services described here, 0 16 stepping aside -- setting aside the question of who 17 was providing them, what would you expect from Tier 18 1? 19 Α Yes. 20 Thank you. 0 Okay. 21 So let's go ahead and put this aside. 22 going to stop sharing my screen. 23 Α Okay. 24 MR. HOLKINS: I'd like to introduce --25 this is actually a pre-introduced exhibit,



1	Exhibit 20.
2	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-20 was
3	previously marked for identification.)
4	BY MR. HOLKINS:
5	Q Ms. Desai, you just received what was
6	previously marked as Exhibit 20.
7	Please take a moment to familiarize
8	yourself with the document. You don't need to read
9	it line by line. Let me know when you finish.
10	MR. HOLKINS: I'll note for the record
11	this is GA00130192.
12	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
13	A I'm ready.
14	Q Have you seen this document before today?
15	A I have.
16	Q And what is this document?
17	A To my understanding, this document is what
18	is forwarded to the community behavioral health
19	providers that are funded to implement Apex.
20	Q Thank you.
21	And if you turn to Page 2 of this
22	document, toward the middle of the page, there's
23	text that indicates that these are what follows
24	are community provider responsibilities and
25	deliverables updated for fiscal year 2021.



1	Do you see that text?
2	A Correct, yes.
3	Q Do you have any hand in drafting the
4	provider responsibilities and deliverables for Apex
5	providers?
6	A I do not.
7	Q Does anyone at the Center of Excellence?
8	A Not to my knowledge.
9	Q Do you have any input at all on this
10	document?
11	A I think, I think over the years I have
12	verbally shared, you know, my you know, my I
13	have verbally shared my thoughts around what could
14	be included in these documents as a way to build
15	some accountability for data reporting.
16	Q So, first off, with whom did you share
17	that verbal feedback?
18	A I mean I'm sure it was our DBHDD partner.
19	So Dante, Layla, Dr. Pearson, maybe Danielle.
20	Danielle is newer, but certainly the other three.
21	Q Do you recall when you shared this verbal
22	feedback with OCYF staff?
23	A I don't. I don't.
24	So, so I've shared it throughout the
25	years. I'm quite sure I have probably shared it at



- least once, even in this current fiscal year. 1
- 2 Again, you know, identifying fidelity to reporting
- and things like that. You know, encouraging some 3
- 4 upfront accountability.

Α

- Could you describe specifically the 5 recommendations you made with respect to data 6 7 correction and accountability?
- Goodness. I think my most recent 9 recommendation that I'm recalling just in this
- 10 moment is related to our parent survey. So we have
- 11 a survey that's been co-created by COE and DBHDD.
- 12 It was created before I came along, so I inherited
- 13 it.

- 14 And it's, it's great -- it's a great
- 15 survey in that it brings forward the perspective of
- 16 a parent of a child who's receiving services in
- 17 Apex, and the survey includes questions about kind
- 18 of their efficacy and their ability to support their
- 19 child, and things like that.
- 20 And we just -- we don't have a really good
- 21 participation rate with that survey for just a
- 22 variety of reasons. And so I believe the last time
- 23 I likely mentioned, hey, you know, if that's
- 24 something that could be put in the document.
- 25 Again, just as a way to kind of remind



1	folks that we have this survey and we would
2	appreciate increased distribution and reporting.
3	Q Okay. So that was the recommendation that
4	they include language in the provider
5	responsibilities instructing providers to
6	A Yeah, encouraging them to get so,
7	again, this also is secondary data collection.
8	Q Right.
9	A So I am not you know, it's not COE
10	forwarding a survey to the parent directly. It's
11	secondary, right. So we are encouraging the
12	providers to forward the survey to the parents, and
13	then the parents forward it to us.
14	Q Just to be clear, what was the language
15	specifically that you proposed adding to the
16	provider deliverables to address that?
17	A Well, it's in this current year. So I
18	don't think this document would reflect that, right.
19	It's in the current, yeah.
20	Q So that would be for FY22?
21	A Correct.
22	MR. HOLKINS: I'll just note this is
23	really just for the transcript so I can look
24	back at this I don't believe we have program

deliverables for FY22 and we would request



1	those from counsel.
2	BY MR. HOLKINS:
3	Q What other recommendations have you made
4	previous to that one with respect to data collection
5	and accountability in connection with provider
6	deliverables for Apex?
7	A Oh, let me just read this one because
8	Q Take your time.
9	(Pause.)
10	A So I believe No. 7 likely also came from
11	some, you know, collaborative conversation, just
12	encouraging, you know, to continue participating in
13	some of the technical assistance opportunities and
14	peer, you know, events that we coordinate.
15	That, that goes a long way for us to kind
16	of understand what's happening in the network,
17	what's working, what are best practices, things like
18	that.
19	Q So just to make clear for the record, when
20	you refer to No. 7
21	A To monitor I'm sorry.
22	Q That's fine.
23	You said it's No. 7 that likely was the
24	result of feedback that you provided; is that right?

Recollecting it, yes. It could be.



Α

Right. And No. 7 is "coordinate treatment 1 2 with the student, their family and teacher, and 3 other resources, as indicated," and then there's a 4 parenthetical? Or am I looking at the wrong place? 5 Α No. So on Page 3 there's a No. 7, Sorry. 6 too. 7 I was referencing the No. 7 on Page 3. To 8 monitor -- do we have different -- I don't know. 9 Sorry, it's my final page. 10 MS. JOHNSON: Mine is on the last page. 11 Α I apologize. It's my final page. So it's 12 No. 7 on the final page. 13 Thank you. Okay. Now I understand where 0 14 we are. 15 Α Yeah. 16 So you're referring to Page 7 -- excuse 17 me -- to No. 7 on the last page of this document, 18 which is Exhibit 20, and the text -- that paragraph 19 starts with "to monitor proper implementation of the 20 model according to fidelity"; is that right? 21 Α Correct. And I will tell you that the 22 fact that program evaluation and technical 23 assistance, the fact that language has been 24 included, that's where I am kind of feeling like 25 there was some collaborative conversation.



1	You know, as I said, you know,
2	encouragement of continuation of submitting data,
3	participating in these activities.
4	Q When did you have conversations with your
5	partners at OCYF about what ultimately became No. 7
6	in this document?
7	A This is, what, fiscal what fiscal year
8	is this?
9	Q It's FY21.
10	A I mean it must have been in the previous
11	fiscal year. I, I don't have a specific
12	recollection.
13	Q Do you have a recurring perhaps annual
14	meeting in which you discuss the Apex program
15	deliverables with your counterparts at OCYF?
16	A Yes, yes.
17	Q When does that meeting occur?
18	A That meeting, you know, there's interim
19	progress checks in our monthly interaction, right.
20	I referenced previously we have two monthly meetings
21	and then kind of doing kind of a reflection, if you
22	will, annually.
23	That would coincide with when we're
24	drafting some of those scope documents, the previous
25	exhibits that we reviewed.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

I understand, and I just want to state this for the record, and please let me know if I misstate it. Around the time you're working on the scope of service document that we discussed earlier, which goes to the Apex contract between DBHDD and COE, that's also when you're having discussions with OCYF about deliverables for providers? Α Yes. 0 Thank you. Α Sorry. Deliverables for us, not deliverables for providers, right. So some of the language included in 7 includes evaluation and technical assistance. say deliverables to us because, you know, the way I'm interpreting it, we, we need their data. need them to participate in technical assistance

activities so we can continue to monitor and provide

20 So I think I'm making kind of like a 21 technical like --

Q Right. These are -- this document describes responsibilities and deliverables for community providers --

A Correct.

support for the program.



1	Q not
2	A Correct, correct.
3	Q Okay. And you recommended including
4	language in this document to the effect of what's in
5	No. 7 on the last page?
6	A I don't know that it was an outright
7	recommendation but I know we've had conversations,
8	you know, around including language that, again, and
9	continues to encourage them to submit their data,
10	continues to encourage them participating in the
11	technical assistance activities that we organize.
12	Q So you never made a recommendation with
13	respect to No. 7?
14	I'm just trying to understand. You said
15	there were conversations about it?
16	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
17	Q What ultimately was your input in those
18	conversations?
19	A To, to include language that could support
20	the continued participation of those two activities.
21	Q Okay.
22	A Yeah.
23	Q And what is your understanding of what
24	proper implementation of the model according to



fidelity should look like?

A Yeah. So DBHDD identifies the Apex model to be, you know, an Apex therapist placed within the school setting. They encourage providers to, you know, partner -- they encourage therapists to be in one to two schools at a time. They don't want like one therapist supporting six schools.

Because, again, you're there to support that continuum of services across the tiers. So if you're splitting the therapists across too many schools, there's not an opportunity to do that.

So they really recommend the therapist to be placed into one to two schools so they can adequately support beyond just the one-on-one therapy.

Once they're in a school, the model also includes language that gets that embeddedness, or integration. So that means once a therapist is there, when, when implemented to fidelity, the therapist really should be seen as a school employee.

Like a student named Johnny shouldn't know that Ms. Davis, oh, she's a therapist coming in.

It's meant to really feel like this person is just another staff member that's part of the school climate and culture. So that means, you



- 1 know, schools are encouraged to provide email
- 2 | addresses, a school-issued email address, that
- 3 they're on listservs and newsletters, that they have
- 4 a dedicated, consistent space to see students.
- 5 So, you know, when Johnny goes to see
- 6 their counselor, he knows that they're in the
- 7 | counseling suite and Ms. Davis is in Room B. So
- 8 | that should feel similar to when they're going to
- 9 see their therapist.
- 10 It should be best practices. It really
- 11 | should be the same consistent space so that there's
- 12 | some predictability in that experience for the child
- 13 | coming to see Ms. Davis.
- 14 | Q Okay.
- 15 A So there are some other indicators that we
- 16 look for that kind of convey embeddedness and
- 17 | integration but that's like a few examples.
- 18 Q Okay. And so the two examples that I
- 19 | heard of what proper implementation of the model
- 20 according to fidelity means are, one, limitations on
- 21 | the number of schools the therapists are serving;
- 22 and then, two, embeddedness of the collocated Apex
- 23 staff. Is that right?
- 24 A Yes, yes.
- 25 \ Q Are there other elements?



1	A Yes.
2	Q What are they?
3	A So how a therapist informs their practice.
4	With regard to this, there's flexibility in the
5	model, and that's by design and on purpose. Every
6	school and every community has specific needs, and
7	so providers are really encouraged to engage with
8	their school partner to identify what is this
9	school's specific need.
10	So, for example, play therapy is a very
11	common evidence-based practice that informs service
12	delivery. That works really well in our elementary
13	schools because that works with younger kids.
14	With middle schools, maybe there's another
15	evidence-based practice that's informing service
16	delivery.
17	So with respect to this, there is
18	flexibility in the model to accommodate the fact
19	that you are there to be responsive to that school's
20	climate and culture. So the therapist, in concert
21	with the school, works together to identify what
22	that's going to look like.
23	So that's, that's part of the model, but

there's flexibility. And, again, that's by design

on purpose -- by design and on purpose.



24

Then I think there's some best practice guidance in terms of productivity. So, again, you know, the therapist is there to provide that individual support, but they are meant to also support the existing school staff in Tier 1, and then step into partnering with the existing school staff in Tier 2.

And so in order to do that, I believe the best practice recommendation is 60 percent of the time can be dedicated to doing that individual one-on-one therapy, and then 40 percent of that time can be then freed up to support that Tier 1, possibly Tier 2 service.

Q Okay. Just to make sure I understand this last point with respect to productivity, the expectation is that Apex providers are going to be spending 60 percent of their time on Tier 3 services? Is that accurate?

A I'm going to actually refer -- so I will say that percentage breakdown has, has been a little bit flexible as well, and the reason why is -- well, I can presume the reason why. But, you know, that, that percentage sort of needs to make sense with the timing of implementation.

And so what I mean by that is, you know,



- 1 initially when a provider first comes -- a therapist
- 2 | first comes into a school, it may be as doing a
- 3 little bit more of that Tier 1 or 2 because they're
- 4 still building up their referral caseload or things
- 5 | like that.
- And, and so I think that -- the percentage
- 7 of each kind of fluctuates a bit based on
- 8 | implementation phase. And I think this document is
- 9 | reflecting at best practice approximately 70 percent
- 10 of time is dedicated to billable direct service.
- 11 | And so then the remaining 30 percent would be for
- 12 | the Tier 1 or 2, I quess.
- Q Can you show me, tell me where you're at?
- 14 A Absolutely. So this is under
- 15 Responsibilities, No. 4. It starts with as a best
- 16 practice, approximately 70 percent. And then the
- 17 | remaining 30 percent is identified in Item No. 5 on
- 18 | the second-to-last page of the document.
- 19 Q Thank you very much.
- Is it true that all of the services
- 21 described in No. 4 are Tier 3 services?
- 22 A Yes. Correct.
- 23 Q So going back to No. 7, and this is on the
- 24 | last page, in addition -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 25 A I'm sorry. I see group outpatient here.



- 1 | So that is technically Tier 2, but that is a
- 2 | billable service, which is why I think it's included
- 3 in the, in the -- so you see GO -- group OP. That's
- 4 group outpatient.
- 5 Q Okay. Other than group outpatient, are
- 6 all the other services identified in No. 4 Tier 3
- 7 | services?
- 8 A No. I'm sorry. Family outpatient.
 - Q Okay. Are those the only two outliers?
- 10 A So -- this is where -- so they are Tier 3
- 11 | services because they are -- so they're -- they are
- 12 | -- okay.

- So here it's kind of combining two things,
- 14 | if you will. They're talking -- they're not even
- 15 | talking about Tier 3. I'm sorry. I'm mixing two
- 16 things here.
- 17 Q Go ahead and clarify. That's fine.
- 18 A This is just talking about dedicated to
- 19 billable direct service. So this list is referring
- 20 | to dedicated billable services.
- 21 Q Which can be either Tier 2 or Tier 3?
- 22 A Correct. Thank you. That's it.
- Q Okay. So going back to No. 7, we were
- 24 just talking about the relevant measures with
- 25 respect to proper implementation of the model



1	according to fidelity, and I'm going to run through
2	the four that I have down, and then I'm going to let
3	you know please let me know if I'm mistaken or if
4	there are any additional measures.
5	So I've got embeddedness of Apex staff in
6	the schools, the limitations on the number of
7	schools that therapists can serve, the productivity
8	requirements that we just discussed, and
9	responsiveness to school climate?
10	A Responsiveness to
11	Q I think you described the flexible
12	approach to identifying the specific needs of the
13	school?
L4	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
15	Q Is that accurate?
16	A Yes. So I think there I was referring to
L7	the specific evidence-based practices that are
18	informing their like that approach to providing
19	the clinical care, the direct service.
20	Q Okay. Are there any other measures
21	relevant to this text in No. 7, monitoring proper
22	communication of the model according to fidelity?
23	A Let me just think for a minute.
24	Not that I'm recalling in this moment. I
25	feel like I I trust it.



Q So I think we can talk about fidelity a little bit more down the road, but I want to move on for now and show you another document.

MR. HOLKINS: Counsel, I had hoped to be able to do this on the computer, but it's too large a file for me to really navigate because of the size. So I'm going do this in text instead.

This is previously introduced as Exhibit 22.

I have three copies, so I'll provide one to you and one to the witness and keep one for myself.

(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit 22 was previously marked for identification.)

MR. HOLKINS: So this is, for the record, GA01749707, previously introduced as Exhibit 22.

BY MR. HOLKINS:

Q Unfortunately, this document is not internally paginated. And so what we're going to have to do is flip through the pages one by one and I'll try to be as efficient as possible.

If you flip to the second page after the cover, if you turn the page, you'll see the title



1	"Georgia A	Apex Program Annual Evaluation Results,
2	July 2019	- June 2020, Presented by the Center of
3	Excellence	e for Children's Behavioral Health."
4		Do you see that text?
5	A	I do.
6	Q	Have you seen this document before?
7	A	I have. And I'm not I got to look into
8	that. Tha	ank for you that recommendation. I don't
9	know if Po	owerPoint allows you to put the page number
10	at the bot	ttom, but I'm going to have to look into
11	that.	
12	Q	On behalf of the Department of Justice, I
13	would humb	oly request that.
14	A	So PowerPoint does let you do that?
15	Q	Oh, I can't speak to that.
16	A	Okay.
17	Q	If PowerPoint does have that function, it
18	would be r	nice.
19		So I believe you testified that you have
20	seen this	document before, correct?
21	A	That is correct.
22	Q	Did you have a hand in drafting this
23	document?	
24	А	I did.
25	\circ	What was your role in drafting this



1 | document?

- 2 A So I'm trying to remember the year. So I
- 3 | have a role every year. Sometimes I play a bigger
- 4 | role and sometimes I play a smaller role.
- I think this particular year I might have
- 6 played a bigger role. So, yeah, I have seen this.
- 7 | I have seen this a lot.
- 8 Q And what does that mean, a bigger role, in
- 9 the context of this document?
- 10 A So if I'm recalling the dates correctly, I
- 11 believe this may have been the -- during the
- 12 | production of this report, I believe my Apex
- 13 | evaluation lead was on maternity leave. So I think
- 14 | I stepped in to have a bigger role for this
- 15 | particular production.
- 16 Does that mean actually drafting the
- 17 | slides?
- 18 A Yes, that does. Yep, that means exactly,
- 19 like, you know, kind of being the point person to
- 20 | pull all the information and data together that
- 21 comes from several different resources. So, yes.
- 22 | Q So you pulled the data together that's in
- 23 this report, and then you drafted the slides?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q This is for Year 5 of the Apex program; is



1	that right?
2	A I think so. I think so, yes.
3	Q Have there been subsequent iterations of
4	this annual evaluation report?
5	A So this gets produced annually. I do
6	believe a Year 6 report has been publicly cleared.
7	So like a Year 6 version of this I do believe has
8	been publicly cleared. I believe.
9	Q I know. I'm just looking at the
10	publicly cleared?
11	A Yeah.
12	Q What does that mean?
13	A Well, so we've produced it. It's in
14	existence. I think DBHDD has reviewed it and
15	cleared it, yeah.
16	Q Has that report, to your knowledge, been
17	shared with the public?
18	A So our process, once it's approved by all
19	parties, it gets uploaded onto our website.
20	Q The Center of Excellence website?
21	A Yeah. And the reason why I'm saying I
22	believe is because the last time I checked, I don't
23	remember seeing that report uploaded onto the
24	website. That's why I'm saying I believe.

MR. HOLKINS: We'll just put a marker down



1	for this as well. We'd like to see the annual
2	evaluation results for Year 6, and we'll follow
3	up with that, counsel.
4	BY MR. HOLKINS:
5	Q Let me just ask, for Year 5 you testified
6	you had a bigger role in drafting this report. For,
7	for instance, the previous year, Year 4, who was
8	taking the lead in drafting the annual evaluation
9	for that year?
10	A I mean I definitely had a role in it. I'm
11	just trying to remember if I had another, another
12	lead at the time.
13	I'm not recalling that I had like I
14	know Ani was not there in year she was there in
15	Year 4 but I think she was very new. So she might
16	have just had a smaller role. Yeah.
17	Q Did you principally draft the annual
18	evaluation report for Year 6 that you just described
19	that's been publicly cleared?
20	A So I had a so Ani was the primary kind
21	of project manager of Year 6, but, yes, I absolutely
22	had a role in reviewing it and, you know, being

Q And you described a process by which the

included in kind of the production of it, things



like that.

23

24

1	Center of Excellence will draft this report and then
2	send it to OCYF for review; is that right?
3	A Correct, yes.
4	Q And what is OCYF's role once they receive
5	that draft from the Center of Excellence?
6	A So their role is reviewing the
7	information, the data.
8	Now, that's not the first time they're
9	laying eyes on the data. They receive monthly
10	reports. So they have you know, they're tracking
11	monthly what happens. But this is the first time
12	that they're seeing kind of the aggregate of the
13	entire year, right.
14	And what they receive monthly is, you
15	know, just kind of the outputs, and this is really
16	kind of doing a little bit more deeper dive
17	analysis, if you will.
18	So their role is reviewing it, digesting
19	it, asking questions if something is unclear.
20	You know, we live in the evaluation world,
21	and so we know that there's a varied audience of
22	consumers who might be interested in this. So we
23	want to make sure what we're putting out there is
24	digestible for everyone. And so is it lay enough

but still, you know -- you know, still, still in



alignment with how we want to talk about evaluation 1 2 and metrics and things like that. 3 So they review it. They provide us 4 feedback. They provide us suggestions. If there's something they're curious 5 about, they will kind of tag that or identify that. 6 7 Sometimes it's, oh, that's an interesting data 8 point, do we know more about it? Sometimes if it's the Other category, 9 10 let's unpack that Other category, what else were 11 some responses that came from it. Things like that. 12 And then approval, of course. Review and 13 approval. 14 Right. And is it fair to say that this 15 report is not finalized and disseminated to the 16 public until it's approved by DBHDD? 17 Yes. Α 18 MS. JOHNSON: If there's a good stopping 19 point in the next few minutes, let's -- I want 20 to take a break. MR. HOLKINS: Let's do at least five 21 22 minutes. 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 2:21 24 p.m. 25 (A recess was taken.)



DIMPLE DESAI UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

March 29, 2022 156

Back on the record at 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 2 2:27 p.m. 3 BY MR. HOLKINS: Ms. Desai, we were just talking about 4 5 Exhibit 22, and I want to now at this point just walk through some of the slides. We're not going to 6 7 talk about each one but I do have some questions 8 with specific slides. I think the best way to do is 9 go through page by page and then I'll ask you some 10 questions. 11 Α Okay. 12 I want to first turn to the page entitled 0 13 "Apex Programmatic Goals. 14 If you flip just one, you'll see it. 15 you see that? 16 I see it. Α 17 Let me first ask whether the Apex 0 18 programmatic goals have changed since you drafted 19 this report? 20 Α They have not. 21 Does the COE have any role in identifying 0 22 Apex programmatic goals, the ones that are on this 23 page? 24 So this precedes me. These programmatic Α 25 goals were developed in 2019 -- sorry. 2015, with



1	the inception of the program, and I know that there
2	were there was some COE presence in the inception
3	of the program.
4	Q So these goals have not changed since
5	Apex's inception, as you understand it?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Let's flip to the next page, and just for
8	identification purposes, the next page describes the
9	three-tiered approach to school-based mental health
10	we've been talking about today, correct?
11	A That's right.
12	Q You can flip to the next page.
13	Under Apex Program Evaluation Design, do
14	you see where I am?
15	A Yes.
16	Q What is a mixed-methods approach?
17	A Mixed-methods approach means we're relying
18	on qualitative data as well as quantitative data.
19	Q Thank you. And is COE collecting both
20	quantitative and qualitative data with respect to
21	the Apex program?

22 A Correct.

23

24

25

Q On the next slide, which is titled "Apex Program Measures and Procedures," let me just ask whether all of the measures and procedures that are



1	described on this page are still in use?
2	A Yes, correct. That's right.
3	Q Is there a monthly programmatic report?
4	A I'm sorry. Can I?
5	Q Please go ahead.
6	A So the COVID-19 experience interviews
7	were, were initiated in that just in that year,
8	and we have not we've not continued that.
9	So it was just done for that one specific
10	year, and I think that was the year that this is
11	the year that we went into shelter in place. So we
12	really wanted to capture what that experience was
13	like, and we didn't have a way to capture it in our
14	quantitative data collection, so we revised our
15	qualitative so we could capture that.
16	Q Understood. Thank you.
17	And aside from that change, are all the
18	other measures and procedures described on this page
19	still in effect?
20	A Yes, that's correct.
21	Q So the top entry on this page is Monthly
22	Progress Report. Do you see that?
23	A I do.
24	Q Is there a monthly programmatic report in
25	addition to the monthly progress report?



A So -- yes, but -- yes, there is. That, that report does not come to us, though.

So there's been a little bit of a change in that over the years. So initially, and for some years, I don't remember exactly how many, there was a programmatic report that was directly sent to us and that programmatic report was a way for providers to put some context to their activity that was meant to provide a little bit more detail based on like what they were invoicing.

So we created a form that Apex providers could provide that additional context to their invoicing. It was forwarded to us. We basically took a bunch of the Word document, downloaded the data, put it into a Word document and collapsed it into one PDF and forwarded it on.

In this year, in the current year evaluation data report that we are currently looking at, I believe this was the year where the decision was made that the programmatic report was going to be directly sent to DBHDD. And so there was a programmatic report. There was a time when it came to us and we packaged it and then gave it to them.

But I believe this was -- because I don't see it reflected in this table. This is the year



where	we	moved	to	just	them	directly	collecting	it
and ke	eep:	ing it						

Q Why was -- what's the reason for that decision?

A Um, so if I recall, that decision was because, the information that was forwarded in that report, very valuable, wasn't timely enough in the way we were capturing it.

And so what I mean is even this monthly progress report that you see here, we are March -- we are in the month -- today is March 29th. Our providers just submitted February's data.

So, you know, we need the month to close and capture all the activity that happened. So March 15th they are submitting February data, if that makes sense.

So they were submitting that data but it's one month back, right. So by the time I receive it, it's reflecting the previous month. So while the information was valuable, it didn't feel timely to DBHDD, and they wanted it more in kind of realtime.

So it just felt like it was more appropriate for them to start collecting it directly.

That timing I believe aligned with them



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

also partner	ring with a f	iscal agent t	to help manage
some of the	contracts an	d budgets and	d invoicing and
things like	that.		

So I think the timing of that -- like it was kind of a clean timing of like, okay, we're transition to a new process of invoicing and things like that, and now this programmatic report is going to accompany some of the new process for invoicing.

- Q Okay. So at present does the monthly programmatic report go directly to DBHDD from Apex providers?
- 12 A Correct.
- Q And the monthly progress report goes directly to providers to COE?
- 15 A To us, correct.
- 16 Q Is there also a lag in the data that's
 17 being reported through the monthly progress report
 18 to CEO.
 - A Yeah, just as I described. So this is -you know, we give our providers to the 15th of the
 month to submit the previous month's full service
 delivery.
- Q Right. Okay. And so that's true for both programmatic and for progress?
- 25 A Well, so I think programmatic now, I



1	guess, is in realtime. I'm not really sure, right.
2	That was their concern, that they weren't receiving
3	it.
4	Q Understood.
5	A So I'm not sure, yeah.
6	Q And does your does the Center of
7	Excellence reports about Apex still incorporate
8	information from the monthly programmatic reports?
9	A No. We are we don't receive any
10	information related to the monthly programmatic.
11	Q I want to just take a very quick
12	digression from Exhibit 22 to show you a couple of
13	other documents, which I'm just really offering for
14	identification purposes.
15	A Okay.
16	Q This is an email I'm going to share with
17	you, and it will be, I believe, marked as 47.
18	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-47 was
19	marked for identification.)
20	BY MR. HOLKINS:
21	Q Ms. Desai, you've just been handed what's
22	been marked Exhibit 47.
23	MR. HOLKINS: For the record, this is
24	GA00146556.



1 BY MR. HOLKINS:

Q It's an email from you dated June 11, 2020. It's sent to Dante McKay and other individuals in OCYF.

My understanding is that this email is providing through the attachments current versions of that date of the monthly progress and programmatic reports.

Is that accurate?

A Yeah. I mean I -- you know, without seeing the attached documents, I believe what this was, was -- if I see two programmatic reports, I can -- so I'm assuming that maybe one copy was currently how the programmatic report was organized, and the second, based on discussion with them, you know, was, was us suggesting maybe some revisions that they may wish to consider, given redrafting it.

Q I see. So the first attachment is a proposed monthly programmatic report. So that one would have the changes that you propose -- proposed?

A Yeah. Well, so it says PDF copy of current. So that was probably just like a -- just an export, right, of what, what we were doing.

And then a revised version. I don't know why I say versions, if there's two attached.



1	But, anyway, that second one must be just,
2	you know, again based on the discussion in that
3	meeting, I was likely maybe taking notes of how we
4	were all kind of identifying how maybe it needed to
5	be changed, and then I was sharing it with them.
6	Q Okay. Thank you. Let's put aside the
7	email. I'm going to show you the attachments now.
8	A Okay.
9	Q Here is the first, Exhibit 48.
10	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-48 was
11	marked for identification.)
12	MR. HOLKINS: For the record
13	THE COURT REPORTER: Wait a minute.
14	MR. HOLKINS: Sorry.
15	BY MR. HOLKINS:
16	Q Ms. Desai, you've just been handed what's
17	been marked Exhibit 48.
18	MR. HOLKINS: For the record, this is
19	GA00146557. It's titled, "Georgia Apex Monthly
20	Programmatic Report," and then in parentheses
21	under that, "Required Report."
22	BY MR. HOLKINS:
23	Q This was one of the attachments to this
24	email that we were just discussing, Exhibit 47.

Is this the current report or the proposed



.	
L	report?

3

4

5

6

- A So this is, this is likely the report that they are currently using, because when we were managing the process it did not look like this.
- So this is -- this is like -- this is the current report, but the revised report from --
 - Q Understand.
- A -- what was happening when we were managing the process. I hope that makes sense.
- 10 Q So this was the proposed revision to the 11 programmatic report as of that date?
- 12 A Yes, yes.
- 13 Q Okay.
- A And, you know, I will tell you -- now that
 I'm seeing it, I mean I am recalling, you know, we
 were all collaboratively identifying what data was
 missing from what we had been doing for some time
 and what needed to be added.
- And so a lot of this, you know, especially
 what relates to invoice, billing and reimbursement,
 that -- a lot of that is more DBHDD directed.
- And, you know, and others might by more collaborative. Some was a little bit more directed from them.
- But, yes, I do -- I think this is



1	currently	what's	being	collected	as	the	programmatic
2	report.						
3	Q	Okay.	Thank	you.			

So let's put that aside. I'm not going to show you the other monthly programmatic report because it's outdated, but I do want to show you

5 because it's outdated, but I do want to show you 7 another attachment to this email.

10 BY MR. HOLKINS:

11 Q You've just been handed what's been marked 12 Exhibit 49.

13 A Oh, yes.

MR. HOLKINS: I'll note for the record
this is GA00146562. The title is "Georgia APEX
- School-Based Mental Health Monthly Progress

17 Report."

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Do you recognize this document, Ms. Desai?

A I do.

Q Is this the current version of the monthly progress report that the COE is using to collect data from providers?

A Likely no, and the reason why I'm saying that is, you know, as we, again, kind of understand the other categories and, and if we need to change a



1	word or two here or there, we do that.
2	So, you know, it's by and large it's
3	probably the same, but it's probably not exactly the
4	same, if that makes sense.
5	Q You
6	A Oh, I'm sorry. Can I say something?
7	Q Oh, of course.
8	A So 3.0 is missing. So there's that.
9	Q Apex 3.0 is not reflected on the first
10	page of this document?
11	A That's right.
12	Q And is that reflected on the current
13	monthly progress report?
14	A It is.
15	MR. HOLKINS: Counsel, as a marker, we
16	will be requesting the current template for the
17	monthly progress report being used by the
18	Center of Excellence.
19	BY MR. HOLKINS:
20	Q Are you aware any of other specific
21	changes made to this document other than adding Apex
22	3.0 on the first page?
23	A I mean I would have to look at it.
24	Q There's no need to review the document.
25	If you don't recall any other specific one offhand,



1	that's fi	ne.
2	A	I don't recall off the top of my head.
3	Q	That's fine.
4	A	That was glaring to me.
5	Q	So let's put aside Exhibit 49 and return
6	to Exhibi	t 22.
7		I'm flipping to the next page, "Apex Year
8	5 Evaluat	ion Report."
9		Do you see where I am?
10	A	I do.
11	Q	I have no questions on that page or the
12	next one.	
13		No questions on the next two pages.
14		So there is a slide titled, "DBHDD
15	Regional	Representation of Apex Program Across the
16	State."	
17		Do you see that?
18	A	I do.
19	Q	I just want to make sure I'm interpreting
20	this slic	de correctly.
21		The counties with no shading or I guess
22	with the	lightest shading indicate there are no Apex
23	schools	n the region. Is that accurate?
24	A	That's correct.
25	Q	Does the Center of Excellence have any



DIMPLE DESAI UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

March 29, 2022 169

1	role in seeking to expand the Apex program to
2	counties where it currently is not available?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
4	You can answer.
5	A So I mean, you know, we are a
6	subcontractor. So we don't have any you know, we
7	don't have any our scope is really doing
8	monitoring, evaluation, and providing technical
9	assistance to the community provider agencies.
10	So that's, that's beyond our role and
11	scope.
12	Q And just to make sure I'm clear, do you
13	personally make any recommendations to DBHDD with
14	respect to expanding the Apex program to counties
15	where it doesn't currently exist?
16	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
17	You can answer.
18	A I mean no. Again, our, our you
19	know, we're contracted to do the evaluation and
20	provide the TA and work with the providers directly.
21	That, that would be something between
22	DBHDD and the providers. You know, that would be
23	yeah.
24	Q So the answer is no?
25	A No.



1	Q	Flipping to the next page, I have no
2	questions	on the next two pages.
3		Regarding the slide titled, "The majority
4	of student	s are referred by counselors," do you see
5	that slide	e?
6	А	I do.
7	Q	Does this slide tell you whether any
8	children v	were referred to Apex by GNETS facilities?
9		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
10		You can answer.
11	A	So this slide does not tell you that.
12	Q	Do you have data elsewhere that
13	indicating	g whether and how many children were
L4	referred	to Apex for GNETS programs?
15		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
16		You can answer.
L7	A	So under Referral Source, you see State
18	Entity?	
L9	Q	Is that inclusive of GNETS?
20	A	So
21		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
22		You can answer.
23	A	I when I was looking at the MPR, and
24	remember l	now I said maybe referral source, we may be
25	capturing	that, I wasn't recollecting but so if



1	you go to Page 7 of Exhibit 49, it says State
2	Entity, and then in parenthesis it's inconclusive of
3	GNETS.
4	Q Thank you for bringing that up. I
5	appreciate it.
6	And that's also on Page 2 of that same
7	document, right, Exhibit 49?
8	A Oh, yes, yes. I didn't see that there.
9	Yes.
10	Q So the State entities are defined to
11	include DJJ does that stand for Division of
12	Juvenile Justice?
13	A That's right.

- Q What does DFCS stand for?
- 15 A Department of Family and Children 16 Services.
- 17 Q And the other state entity is GNETS?
- 18 A GNETS.
- Q And do you recall for Year 5 the number of students referred by GNETS to Apex based on the data collected for the MPR?
- MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
- You can answer.
- A No. And I have no way of stratifying that data with the way the question is asked as well. So



1	the way this data is reported, it says State Entity.
2	And so, if I see 317, that's, that's I
3	don't know of the 317 how much is DJJ, DFCS or
4	GNETS.
5	The way, the way I'm I'm seeing the way
6	that the question is being asked, there would be no
7	way to stratify how many total of the total how
8	many is DJJ, DFCS, or GNETS.
9	Q Thank you.
10	Let's go back to Exhibit 22.
11	Do you see the slide entitled "Top Three
12	Referral Reasons"?
13	A Yes.
14	Q The No. 1 reason is Classroom Conduct.
15	And let me just rephrase.
16	The most common reason is classroom
17	conduct, correct?
18	A Uh-hum. Yes.
19	Q Do you know what evidence-based practices
20	would be appropriate for children referred to Apex
21	because of classroom conduct?
22	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
23	A I don't. I, I can presume but I don't
24	know, no.
25	Q The next most common referral reason is



1	behavioral excuse me Behavior Outside
2	Classroom.
3	Do you see that?
4	A I do.
5	Q Do you know what evidence-based services
6	would be appropriate for children referred to Apex
7	because of behavior outside of classroom?
8	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
9	A I cannot say.
10	Q Because you don't know?
11	A I don't know.
12	Q And I'll just ask for one more.
13	The third most common referral reason,
14	which is depression, do you see that on the slide?
15	A I do.
16	Q Do you know what evidence-based practices
17	would be appropriate for children referred to Apex
18	because of depression?
19	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
20	A Not just based on depression. I mean I
21	think it's taking the student in the context and
22	so I think I think what is noteworthy here is
23	that these are referral reasons, not diagnoses.
24	Q Right.
25	A And so I think connecting it to what



1	evidence-k	pased practice is not there's not a
2	direct lir	ne there.
3	Q	Just to return to the question, though, do
4	you know w	what evidence-based practice would be
5	appropriat	te for a child referred to Apex because of
6	depression	1?
7		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
8	A	I do not.
9	Q	Let's scroll ahead or turn ahead.
10		I have no questions on the next two pages.
11		Total Number of Services by Type.
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Do you see that slide?
14	A	I do.
15	Q	Initial Outpatient Service, what does that
16	entail?	
17	A	That is that intensive one-on-one therapy.
18	Q	Is that a Tier 3 service?
19	A	It is.
20	Q	And what is your understanding of
21	Community	Supports and Individual Services, the
22	second ser	cvice listed on this slide?
23	A	Yeah, that's where I was saying, you know,
24	sometimes	they need referrals to other community
25	supports.	They need, you know, other sometimes



1	it's something that the CS-1 worker can help
2	facilitate and sometimes they're, you know, giving
3	referrals within the community to meet their needs.

- 4 So it just depends on the type of need the student 5 might have.
- Q Is Community Support and Individual
 7 services akin to case management?
- 8 A It's like that, yes. Yes, that would be a good. Uh-hum, that would be a good way to

 10 understand it.
- 11 Q And what is your understanding of 12 Behavioral Health Assessment?
- 13 A That's, that's kind of -- it's an intake.

 14 It's kind of understanding the social history of the

 15 child. It's understanding what they're presenting

 16 with. Social/emotional history.
- Q What's your understanding of psychiatric interventions?
 - A That is -- you know, sometimes, sometimes there is a need for -- I think that goes along with medication management. Sometimes there is a need for medication prescription. I think that's what would be --
 - Q How is that different from medication management, which is also listed on this slide?



20

21

22

23

24

A Sometimes kids need to be seen and need like a behavioral health assessment, or just like an individual therapy session, to continue receiving prescription for medicine, if that makes sense.

Sometimes, you know, like you just -- you get a six-month prescription but when that six months run out, you're not going to get another prescription until you come and see a doctor and the doctor lays eyes on you and confirms like there's still a need for the prescript -- like all of that, so.

Q What is your understanding of Crisis
Intervention, the last service that's identified?

A So, yeah, that's, that's any crisis that the child might be experiencing. That could include, you know, suicide, like if there's reason to be concerned about suicide. You know, if there's -- anything that requires -- I mean anything really to support the crisis.

Q And just to make sure that I understand, this service itself that's provided through crisis invention, is that mobile crisis response? Is that crisis stabilization?

What is -- what is the actual service?

A Yeah, it's crisis stabilization. I mean,



you know, whatever is needed to support that child 1 2 in the school. 3 Yeah, I mean we've had episodes where kids 4 are really, you know -- I mean experiencing like an 5 emotional -- I don't know. Like an emotional breakdown? So, yeah. 6 7 So this service is designed to help them 0 8 resolve that immediate crisis in the school setting? 9 Α Yes. Yeah. 10 Are you familiar with the service called 0 11 Intensive Customized Care Coordination, or IC3? 12 I am familiar with it. Not terribly Α 13 familiar, though. I know the Center has work that 14 they're doing within that space. I don't directly 15 have any hand in it. 16 Do you know if IC3 is provided through the 17 Apex program? 18 Α I don't. I mean I don't -- not to my 19 knowledge. 20 0 Are --21 That's something newer, too. I mean that, Α 22 you know -- yeah. 23 Are any of the services on this slide 24 evidence-based services, to your knowledge?

So it's -- so these -- so these are



Α

1	services that are provided, but, you know so,
2	like, for example, individual outpatient services,
3	that's that one-on-one therapy. There is an
4	evidence-based that is informing how that therapist
5	is delivering services, yes.
6	Again, that evidence-based is determined
7	in collaboration with the provider and the school
8	based on what the needs are of that school
9	community.
10	So I have no doubt there are
11	evidence-based practices informing these therapists,
12	but it varies.
13	O There are specific evidence-based

- 14 services, correct?

 15 A Yes, yes.
- Q Is cognitive behavioral therapy an example of an evidence-based service?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Is dialectical therapy another example of 20 an evidence-based service?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Are any of the services described on this page evidence-based services?
- 24 A These are -- there's, there's
 25 evidence-based frameworks informing these services,



yes, but the words on this page, these are not 1 2 evidence-based services. 3 Okay. 0 I think there is a slide with 4 Α 5 evidence-based services. What are the top --6 0 Okay, we'll get to that one. 7 Α Yeah. 8 Thank you. 0 9 So let's turn to the next page, and you 10 had referenced diagnoses earlier, and I see here a 11 slide that describes the top three diagnoses. 12 Do you see where I am? 13 I do. Α I am. 14 The first diagnosis, the most common 0 15 identified on this slide is ADHD. 16 Do you see that? 17 I do. Α 18 What does ADHD stand for? 0 19 Α Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. 20 Do you know what evidence-based services 0 21 would be appropriate for a child diagnosed with 22 ADHD? 23 Objection. MS. JOHNSON: 24 You can answer. 25 Α So there's not, there's not like one.



1	It's really the therapist, after knowing a
2	diagnosis. So that is what directs care, the
3	diagnosis. It's not referral reason, it's not
4	referral source. It's the diagnosis.
5	So I can't say that there's just one
6	that's appropriate for ADHD. It's but that piece
7	of information for a therapist knowing what the
8	diagnosis is, yes, helps to kind of identify what
9	would be appropriate. But it's not that it's just
10	one for ADHD. I think it's that data point along
11	with the other data points for a child. If that
12	makes sense, what I'm saying.
13	Q So assuming what you're saying is true,
14	that there's not just one service, evidence-based
15	service that would be appropriate for a child with
16	ADHD, is there a package of services which you think
17	would be appropriate for a child with ADHD?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
19	A There are yes, there are several
20	yes, there are several practices that can be more
21	useful when working with a population of ADHD.
22	Q And what are those?
23	A I mean, you know, I think play therapy,
24	depending on the age of the child, could be

appropriate. Sometimes therapists come to the

DIMPLE DESAI UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

March 29, 2022

1 understanding that sometimes medication needs to be 2 included.

You know, again, knowing the full social history of the child is what's really going to direct the care. So that's maybe an example of two, but the other data points included and collected on that behavioral health assessment I think also helps to drive those decisions.

Q Let's turn to the next page, which starts with the sentence "Of the 1,779 students discharged from the Apex program during Year 5, noncompliance and equals 728 is the most frequently reported reason."

Do you see that text?

A I do.

O What does noncompliance mean?

A So noncompliance can mean, you know, that they -- they weren't able to receive services per the treatment plan. So, let's say, for example, the treatment plan, based on kind of what's happening in that child's life, states that it would be best to see that child once a week. Noncompliance could be we were unable to see that child once a week, for some -- for a variety of reasons.

Noncompliance can mean that the parent



maybe decided that they didn't -- they didn't want, even though the therapist said that we think your child would benefit from service, they didn't want to consent to that.

Q Does the COE track the specific reasons for noncompliance in connection with this data?

A So just based on what's in front of me, I'm going to say no, because the way I'm reading this is this is the unique response that someone selected, noncompliance. It's not, it's not -- what's the word I'm looking for?

It's not a variable that we've created.

It's a unique response option. So that is telling

me that noncompliance is just one reason.

It's possible, though, that before they select that, there may be some language that helps define what is meant by noncompliance. But the way this is reading, I'm thinking -- I'm thinking now, but I need to look and see.

Yeah, back to 49 would maybe help.

Q This would be based on data submitted on the monthly progress report?

A Yeah. On each slide, at the very bottom right-hand corner, you'll see Source. So, yeah, this will be from the --



1	Q If you turn to Page 6 of Exhibit 49
2	it's probably where you're going right now.
3	A Okay. Yes, I'm here.
4	Q Under Part i?
5	A Okay, yes. So there you go. So, uh-hum.
6	Yep.
7	So noncompliance, and it says, for
8	example, not going to sessions, lack of
9	participation at sessions, yes.
10	Q So to be clear, this form does not require
11	that providers identify the specific reasons for
12	non-compliance when reporting the data?
13	A Well, so we are, you know, giving them
14	by the example, we are, we are indicating to them
15	what is meant by the word "noncompliance."
16	So I can only assume that the reporting of
17	that data then is inclusive of, you know, these are
18	the number of students not going to sessions, lack
19	of participation at sessions.
20	So I should say when we create questions
21	for either monthly or annual tracking, it is in
22	collaboration with our evaluation advisory team, and
23	so, you know, we are very much aware that we don't
24	have the context and knowledge of kind of boots on



the ground of what's happening.

So when we create questions, we will take 1 2 the liberty of initiating a question and then we 3 will take it to the evaluation advisory group and 4 say, oh, this is what I'm asking, this is the 5 information I'm seeking. Am I asking in the right 6 What are some pre-formed responses that you way? 7 would likely select? 8 And so we attempt to get as many 9 pre-formed as possible, and then typically always 10 end with Other, because we know we don't know 11 everything and all the reasons, and then the Other 12 category, once we do analyze that, those then do --13 sometimes if responded to frequently enough, then we 14 create unique response choices based on that. 15 So I want to go back to my question, which 16 is whether this form requires that providers 17 submitting monthly progress reports identify the 18 specific reasons for noncompliance? 19 Does this report call for that 20 information? 21 Um, I quess not in the way you're asking Α 22 it. 23 0 Okay. Let's move on. 24 I actually think that -- let me just ask. 25 Stepping aside from the exhibit, are you familiar



1	with this service functional behavioral assessment?
2	A No.
3	Q What was that? I'm sorry?
4	A No.
5	Q Thank you. And just to confirm, you're
6	not aware that's a service provided through the Apex
7	program?
8	A I'm not aware.
9	Q So we did talk about specific
10	evidence-based services. I think you said there's a
11	slide for that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Let's go through it so we can find that
14	slide.
15	A Okay.
16	Q I see one here. "Of the 159 reported
17	evidence-based practices."
18	Do you see where I am? This is right
19	after we have Apex Billing by Payer Source,
20	Percentage of Services Billed to a Third Party
21	Payer, and then "of the 159."
22	You see where I am?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. So these are the evidence-based
25	practices, or EBPs, that are available through Apex,



- A Most frequently utilized, that's right.
- 3 Q What are the other services -- excuse me.
- 4 Other evidence-based practices available through
- 5 Apex that are not on this spread -- excuse me -- on
- 6 this page?
- 7 A So sometimes in some of the slides we have
- 8 | a little pop-out of the Other, and I don't see that
- 9 we've done that here.
- 10 We typically try to follow the rule that
- 11 | if the Other category is one of the top three, then
- 12 | we go ahead and provide that further detail, but I
- 13 don't recall that off the top of my head.
- 14 Q Do you know whether Apex providers receive
- 15 | training on these most common evidence-based
- 16 | practices?
- 17 A So I -- so DBHDD provides support in the
- 18 | area of workforce development. They have a system
- 19 | called Relias, that houses a lot of professional
- 20 development.
- I do believe that some of these are
- 22 | included in that.
- Beyond that, the individual provider
- 24 agencies also do a lot of support and professional
- 25 development within, you know, the evidence-based



practices that	they	prioritize	or	adopt.
----------------	------	------------	----	--------

- Q Is Relias an online-based training module? Can you explain to me what it is?
- A I think it's exactly what you described.

 I don't know a whole lot about it. I've never been in the system. But to my understanding, yes, it's online, like modulized thing, yeah.
- Q Does the Center of Excellence, separate and part from what you just described, provide any training or technical assistance to Apex providers on the most common EBDs identified on the slide?
- A So we have a workforce development arm within the COE, and within that we have done a lot of work in the trauma informed world.
- So there is another group, like another team, that provides a lot of support across the State, and some of the agencies that are implementing Apex have been involved in some way in those -- participating in those trainings.
- Q Okay. Does that workforce group within the COE specifically target training on evidence-based practices to Apex providers, or is it just broadly offered to --
 - A It's broadly offered.
 - Q So they're not specifically reaching out



to Apex providers and scheduling trainings on 1 2 evidence-based practices? But they're included in the network 3 Α No. of providers who are -- who have the opportunity to 4 5 participate. Do GNETS staff have the opportunity to 6 0 7 participate in those workforce trainings on 8 evidence-based practice? 9 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 10 I don't know that they do and I don't know Α 11 that they don't. I don't. 12 I think we can put this document aside. 0 13 MR. HOLKINS: We can also take a little 14 break now, five minutes, and we can go off the 15 record. 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 3:10 17 p.m. 18 (A recess was taken.) 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 20 3:18 p.m. 21 BY MR. HOLKINS: 22 Ms. Desai, you mentioned when we were 0 23 speaking earlier that there is a workforce arm of 24 the COE, correct?



Correct.

Α

1	Q	Who runs that component of the COE?
2	A	That is co-led by Astrid Pruett and Ursula
3	Davis.	
4	Q	Would you agree that broadly one of the
5	goals of	the Apex program is to meet the needs of
6	students	who have behavioral health conditions in
7	general e	ducation settings?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Close to their homes and communities?
10		MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
11	A	It's, it's in the schools where they in
12	the commu	nities and where they live.
13	Q	And in your view, why is that important?
14	A	Apex is a school-based initiative. So
15	it's bein	g implemented in the schools in which these
16	where	these children are.
17	Q	I understand that the services are being
18	implement	ed
19	A	Yeah.
20	Q	in general education settings in
21	students'	homes, near their own communities, right?
22	A	Yes, correct.
23	Q	I'm asking you whether you think that's
24	important	?
25	A	I think it's important because it's a



1	school-based delivery model. So I think it's
2	important that the services are delivered in the
3	school setting.
4	Q But beyond just any school setting, in a
5	setting close to the child's home and community?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
7	Q You think that's important?
8	MS. JOHNSON: Objection sorry.
9	Objection.
10	A I think I'm not understanding the
11	question.
12	Q Okay. Let me try again.
13	Students who receive services through the
14	Apex program receive those services in their
15	schools, correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q The schools which they would normally go
18	to based on where they live in Georgia?
19	A That's right.
20	Q Do you see value in providing services
21	through the Apex program to students in the school
22	where they would ordinarily go to based on where
23	they live?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Object.
25	A I do, because I think you know, I



mentioned earlier it's, it's acknowledging some of
what we know to be the barriers to accessing
services. You know, transportation, getting the kid
to the therapist, and providing services in an
environment where they already spend so much of
their time acknowledges some of those barriers.

- Q Does providing services in a school setting close to their community generate, based on your understanding of your research, better outcomes for children with behavioral health conditions?

 MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
- A Based on my research, providing services in the schools where the kids are receiving their education is, yes, is better for outcomes because, again, it acknowledges some of the access of transportation and the parent needing to take time off work and things like that.
- It's, it's meeting, meeting them where they are.
- 20 Q Let me go back and talk about -- I think 21 it was -- I think I'm disorganized. I'm sorry.
 - A That's okay.
- Q Do you have the Georgia Apex program
 provider responsibilities and deliverables in front
 of you?



1	A Yes. Hold on.
2	This document?
3	Q Yes. It's Exhibit 20, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q So I want to direct you to the section
6	titled, "Deliverables," which starts on Page 3 of
7	the document and carries over to Page 4.
8	Do you see where I am?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. Under No. 3, the third bullet, and
11	this is on the last page of the document, is
12	"Difference made."
13	Do you see that?
14	A I do.
15	Q The two measures for difference made are
16	the percent of students served to require a higher
17	level of care, such as short-term crisis
18	stabilization or extended residential treatment,
19	including a) monthly and b) aggregate totals.
20	That's the first; is that correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And the second is "number of disciplinary
23	referrals per month for the total population of
24	schools receiving Apex services, including, a)
25	monthly and b) aggregate totals. The goal is try to



attribute the impact of Apex on the general school 1 2 climate." 3 Do you see that text? Α I do. 4 5 0 Did you have any input in identifying these two measures for difference made? 6 7 I believe there was collaborative Α 8 conversation. 9 So did you participate in those 10 conversations? 11 I believe I did. Α 12 And what was the thinking behind 0 13 identifying these two measures for difference made? 14 If I recall, the first one, you know, 15 regarding higher level of care. It's attempting to understand that is receiving the services through 16 17 the Apex program, maintaining or addressing their 18 need, keeping them in their home, their community, 19 their school, and not requiring a higher level of 20 care, are they meeting -- are they getting what they 21 need from what's being delivered through the Apex 22 And it's not resulting in crisis or not program. 23 resulting in any situation that would require higher 24 levels of care. 25 So that's, that's the first one.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second one is, you know, given that the Apex therapists are coming into the schools and supporting those Tier 1 and Tier 2 interventions, Tier 1 being that universal prevention, and Tier 2 being that group work or smaller focused work, you know, is, is the fact that that support is there, having any impact on the general school climate. And so us, us wanting to understand the disciplinary referrals and incidents -- I think that word is in here -- but, you know, wanting us -- us wanting to understand what the movement of referrals is looking like. You know, is it such that before services we have a high rate of disciplinary referrals and incidents, and now that services are in place, students needs are being met, do we see lower referral, do we see lower incidents. And so is that in any way changing the general school climate. And just to make the connection really clear for me and for the record, what is the link

between disciplinary referrals and school climate?

A So the way -- so Georgia DOE collects a
lot of surveys that is part of the Georgia Student
Health Survey, and it's a whole, very much long

explanation, but basically that results in a school



climate score. Each public school receives a school
climate score. I believe it's like one to five, or
something like that.
And so one of the indicators that that is

And so one of the indicators that, that is part of the conversation of getting to that school climate score is disciplinary incidents. So that's how that kind of connects.

- Q What are the other factors aside from disciplinary referrals that are relevant to the school climate rating?
- 11 A Oh, my goodness. It's, it's a lot.

So the Georgia Student Health Survey includes student perception survey, staff and personnel perception survey, parent perception survey. Then they're looking at discipline. I think they're looking at whether it's a safe and substance-free environment. I believe there's some

Someone with DOE would have way more knowledge about it than I do.

bullying indicators in there as well.

- Q How did you arrive at disciplinary referrals as the key measure for assessing difference made as opposed to the other ones you just identified relative to school climate?
 - A So I think -- you know, referrals are the



1	way kids get to services, right. So we want to
2	understand the number of referrals. We want to
3	understand the reason for referral, because that is
4	what helps us to understand if we're kind of even
5	acknowledging school climate and moving again,
6	you know, these, these combination of indicators.
7	Are we moving in the right direction.
8	And so, again, referrals is I think
9	identified here because that is the way kids gets
10	into services.
11	Q Going back to the first bullet under
12	"difference made," would higher level of care for
13	purposes of this bullet include placement in a GNETS
14	facility?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
16	A I am not sure because it doesn't say GNETS
17	facility. So I'm not sure. I mean it's I'm
18	not sure, you know, because it says short term
19	crisis stabilization or extended residential
20	treatment. I don't, I don't see GNETS.
21	And so I don't know if someone's
22	interpretation would be if GNETS is one of the two
23	things included here. I don't know.
24	Q What do you interpret it to mean?

I come from an evaluation background, so I



Α

1	look for, you know I would likely I would not
2	assume that it is included, and I would probably ask
3	is, is your interpretation maybe is GNETS
4	inconclusive of those two things? I don't know.
5	Q Who would you ask?
6	A I mean initially I think the question
7	would come to us because of the survey design, and
8	then that would be us connecting to DBHDD to ensure
9	that, you know, we are all understanding, and that
10	we are collecting the data that we mean to be
11	collecting.
12	Q So to be clear, have you had a discussion
13	with anyone at DBHDD about whether a higher level of
14	care as used in this document includes GNETS?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.
16	A If you're asking me have I had a
17	conversation with DBHDD about if GNETS if this
18	first bullet and these two items, short-term crisis
19	stabilization, is inclusive of GNETS. I don't
20	recall that I have.
21	Q So sitting here today, do you have any
22	knowledge of whether placement in a GNETS facility
23	factors into COE's assessment of "difference made"
24	for Apex?

Objection.



MS. JOHNSON:

1	A Ask me that again.
2	Q Sure. Sitting here today, do you have any
3	knowledge of whether placement in a GNETS facility
4	factors into COE's assessment of "difference made"
5	for Apex?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
7	A I don't. I don't believe so.
8	Q So I want to just run through some
9	spreadsheets.
10	We can put this aside. I think this
11	should be fairly quick.
12	A Okay.
13	Q Let me first show you where I got them
14	from.
15	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-50 was
16	marked for identification.)
17	BY MR. HOLKINS:
18	Q Ms. Desai, you've just been handed what
19	has been marked as Exhibit 50.
20	MR. HOLKINS: For the record this is
21	GA01482744.
22	BY MR. HOLKINS:
23	Q This is an email from you dated June 30,
24	2020. It's addressed to Dante McKay and other
25	members of the OCYF staff.



1	The title excuse me. The subject of										
2	the email is "Apex May 2020 Monthly Reports."										
3	What were you sending in this email?										
4	A Monthly reports for May 2020, several										
5	reports for May 2020.										
6	Q Specifically, monthly programmatic										
7	reports?										
8	A Yep. So, yeah, that's what it looks like.										
9	It looks like programmatic reports, two. So one is										
10	just that PDF document of kind of the export that we										
11	did. And then we were collecting some COVID-19										
12	specific data, and so it looks like we included that										
13	as well.										
14	Again, we just did that for that one year.										
15	Yes.										
16	So did you just ask me about programmatic										
17	reports?										
18	Q It also includes a monthly progress report										
19	spreadsheet, correct?										
20	A Yes. So I can go down the whole list.										
21	I'm sorry.										
22	Q No, that's fine. I don't need to know										
23	about all of them.										
24	A Okay.										
25	Q I'm particularly interested in the										



1	programmatic report and the monthly progress report											
2	spreadsheets, which I'm going to show you, so I know											
3	those are attachments to this email.											
4	A Okay, yeah.											
5	Q These were all previously introduced, and											
6	so I'm going to pull up on Zoom the versions that											
7	were introduced previously.											
8	Give me one second.											
9	So the first is Exhibit 39, and I'm going											
10	to produce that to you by zoom in a second.											
11	A Okay.											
12	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-39 was											
13	previously marked for identification.)											
14	BY MR. HOLKINS:											
15	Q Do you see a document titled "Apex Monthly											
16	Programmatic Reports for May 2020"?											
17	A Yes.											
18	MR. HOLKINS: So I'll just note for the											
19	record this was introduced as Exhibit 39. The											
20	Bates No. is GA001483745.											
21	BY MR. HOLKINS:											
22	Q It attaches exhibit excuse me. It was											
23	attached to Exhibit 50, which we were just											
24	discussing.											
25	So my understanding of what this is it's a											



1	compilation of all the programmatic reports you											
2	received from Apex providers in May 2020. Is that											
3	correct?											
4	A That's correct.											
5	Q And am I also correct in stating at this											
6	point all of this data is submitted directly to											
7	DBHDD and not to the Center of Excellence?											
8	A At this point this											
9	Q In this current moment, as we											
10	A Yes. In this current moment, it's											
11	provided to DBHDD, but I don't think it looks like											
12	this. I think it looks like your other I think											
13	it looks like this.											
14	Q So was this based on a prior template for											
15	the monthly											
16	A Yes.											
L7	Q programmatic reports?											
18	A That's correct. That's correct.											
19	Q Did you undertake any analysis of this											
20	information before you sent it to Dante?											
21	A No. This what we're currently looking											
22	at in the screen, there's no, there's no analysis.											
23	It is export and compiling in a more reader friendly											
24	visual here as one piece, single PDF file versus,											
25	you know, 30 files.											



1	And just like cleaning up, probably. Like											
2	making it look a little bit easier to use, putting											
3	some hardware terms in between paragraphs, things											
4	like that, but no analysis.											
5	Q And is that true also for the other											
6	members of your team working on the Apex project,											
7	they did not conduct any analysis of Exhibit 39											
8	before you sent it to Dante?											
9	A No, not of this.											
10	Now, analysis of the COVID-19 data, the											
11	second thing, but not this, not this monthly output											
12	like this, no.											
13	Q Okay. So let's put this aside, and I'm											
14	going to show you the next attachment.											
15	Do you see a spreadsheet?											
16	A I do.											
17	MR. HOLKINS: I'll note for the record											
18	this is Exhibit 40. It was previously											
19	introduced.											
20	The Bates number is GA01482779, and it was											
21	attached to Exhibit 50.											
22	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-40 was											
23	previously marked for identification.)											
24	BY MR. HOLKINS:											
25	Q My understanding of what this is, is a											



1	spreadsheet that shows monthly progress reporting											
2	for Apex 1.0 and 2.0 providers for the month of May											
3	2020. Is that accurate?											
4	A Uh-hum. Yes.											
5	Q And what did you do with respect to											
6	constructing this spreadsheet before you sent it to											
7	Dante McKay and his team?											
8	A Oh, my gosh. A lot. So it doesn't export											
9	so the raw data, the way it exports from the											
10	system that we use is not at all reader friendly.											
11	It doesn't have the headers on the columns that you											
12	see, things like that.											
13	So it's actually a big data management											
14	lift to just kind of reorganize and restructure the											
15	data.											
16	We're not messing with the data itself.											
17	The raw data is the raw data. But we are organizing											
18	and restructuring so that it looks the way it does											
19	currently.											
20	So it's a lot. It's a lot of just											
21	yeah.											
22	Q I imagine.											
23												
	Is this something that you're submitting											



Yes.

Α

1	Q And would that report, in its most current											
2	iteration, include a tab for Apex 3.0?											
3	A Correct.											
4	Q Do you conduct any analysis of this											
5	monthly progress report data in the spreadsheet											
6	before sending it to Dante McKay and his team?											
7	A No. I'm just confirming. No, we don't											
8	conduct analysis. This is just kind of the monthly											
9	snapshot of the activity that happened.											
10	The analysis takes place in the yearly											
11	summary and findings. So this is just kind of a											
12	snapshot.											
13	I mean I mean I take that back. If											
14	you're considering summing the, you know, summing											
15	the root columns analysis, I don't consider that											
16	analysis. Like the totals are at the bottom and											
17	things like that.											
18	Q Right. Are you looking for are you											
19	looking at excuse me.											
20	Are you looking for trends, for instance,											
21	in Tier 3 services provided across providers on a											
22	monthly basis based on this data?											
23	A So this version so yes, but not in the											
24	version you're looking at.											
25	So like this is, this is just kind of that											



1	monthly snapshot. This is what's happening across
2	schools.
3	The other document that's included in this
4	email as an attachment is YTD. So that's year to
5	date. That is where we might look and kind of see,
6	because that then is rolling up the schools by
7	provider and giving us a sense of like, okay, you
8	know, Viewpoint Health in the month of May, oh, they
9	only provided like a hundred services. That's not
LO	typical. They're usually providing more. Something
11	like that.
12	So that, that year-to-date, we might use
13	that for that type of reflection.
14	But this at a school level, we're not,
15	we're not looking at it for that. No. Not in that
16	way. If that makes I don't know if that makes
L7	sense.
18	Q It does, and I'm going to show you the
19	spreadsheet that you just referred to, so we can

MR. HOLKINS: So I have just produced through Zoom Exhibit 41, which was previously introduced.

The Bates No. is GA 01482780. It was attached to Exhibit 50.



talk about that.

20

21

22

23

24

1	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-41 was										
2	previously marked for identification.)										
3	BY MR. HOLKINS:										
4	Q Is this the year-to-date spreadsheet that										
5	you have been discussing?										
6	I realize it's at the bottom document.										
7	I'll scroll to the top. I can even give you										
8	control.										
9	A No, that's.										
10	Okay. Yeah, this appears to be the										
11	year-to-date. I think it's the second worksheet in										
12	that workbook because I believe the first worksheet										
13	should be the pivot table.										
14	Q Is that it?										
15	A That's the first worksheet, yes.										
16	Q So this is the document that you refer to										
17	as kind of a basis for analyzing where providers are										
18	at; is that right?										
19	A Correct. Correct. And if this then if										
20	there's something here that, you know, might then										
21	direct me to the other one, sure.										
22	Q Is this also something that you're										
23	submitting, a version of this, to DBHDD on a monthly										
24	basis?										
25	A Yes.										



DIMPLE DESAI UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

March 29, 2022 207

MR. HOLKINS: So I'll just note for the 1 2 record, so that it's easier for us to find, 3 that we are going to be interested in seeing 4 updated versions of this spreadsheet through 5 the present. BY MR. HOLKINS: 6 7 So what specific things are you looking at 0 8 when you see this spreadsheet? 9 You mentioned --10 MR. HOLKINS: Let me start again. 11 BY MR. HOLKINS: 12 When you're assembling this year-to-date 0 13 spreadsheet, based on the most recent monthly 14 progress report data from providers, what are you 15 looking for? 16 I mean I'm looking for anything that looks 17 off, you know. We don't typically have providers 18 send data in the tens, thousands. So if I'm seeing 19 something like that, then there's something that's 20 off. 21 I'm looking for the other extreme, too. 22 You know, someone's reporting two or three -- or 23 like sum total, I'm seeing two or three services. 24 Okay, that's telling me someone's not -- someone 25 hasn't been sending their monthly data, right.



1	So that then would flag me to, you know,											
2	to to inquire, let's say, for example, Fulton											
3	County. You know, I'm only seeing three cases on a											
4	I don't know, October year-to-date file.											
5	The way we track data is through the State											
6	fiscal year. So we've already looked at July,											
7	August, September, and by October I'm only seeing											
8	one student being served, or, you know, is that											
9	because data is miss like is that because they											
10	haven't been submitting their reports.											
11	And typically that's already been flagged,											
12	because we do a monthly one before we analyze this											
13	data. We do look to see who has submitted and who's											
14	outstanding. At a provider level, who's submitted											
15	and who's outstanding, right.											
16	So I'm looking for kind of general things											
17	like that.											
18	Q Discrepancies from which you would											
19	ordinarily											
20	A Yeah.											
21	Q expect?											
22	A Yes, yeah.											
23	Q Is there any other systemwide data that											
24	you're looking at on a monthly basis with respect to											
25	the Apex rubric?											



1	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.										
2	A No.										
3	Q I'm sorry?										
4	A No. Not that I'm recalling, no.										
5	Q Does this spreadsheet tell you the number										
6	of children referred to GNETS who were previously										
7	referring services through Apex?										
8	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.										
9	A No. I mean, again, you know, I think it's										
10	back to that referral category that we previously										
11	looked at, the State agency. I mean										
12	Q The data, you're just now collecting data										
13	at that level, right?										
14	A We're not collecting, right, data at that										
15	granular level. State agency state entity is										
16	inclusive of GNETS, but that sum total will not										
17	allow me to disaggregate and understand of that what										
18	is GNETS.										
19	Q Will this spreadsheet tell you the number										
20	of children transitioning back to Apex from a GNETS										
21	facility?										
22	MS. JOHNSON: Objection.										
23	A No. We're not, we're not tracking the										
24	data on that.										
25	Q Will this spreadsheet tell you the number										





marked for identification.) 1 2 MR. HOLKINS: For the record, this is 3 GA00129400. BY MR. HOLKINS: 4 5 It's an email from you -- excuse me. 0 6 It's an email to you, dated September 25, 7 2020, Subject: "Apex Friday Leadership Check-in 8 Call." 9 My question to you is whether this is a 10 current roster for the Apex leadership check-in 11 call? 12 MS. JOHNSON: Objection. 13 Α No, because Rachel Campos, who is one of 14 my colleagues, is not on the -- she's not -- she's 15 not on Apex anymore. She's not. 16 So I think other than that, I see 17 everyone. Danielle is on here, Dr. Pearson, Layla, 18 Dante, myself, and Ani. 19 Any additions? Q 20 Α No. 21 Okay. You can put that aside. 0 22 Just so it's clear, how often do those 23 Apex leadership check-in meetings occur? 24 They're monthly. Α 25 Q And they're ongoing?



1	A Th	ney're ongoing, yes.									
2	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-52 was										
3	marked for identification.)										
4	BY MR. HOLKINS:										
5	Q You've just been handed Exhibit 52.										
6	MR. HOLKINS: For the record, this is										
7	GA00150297.										
8	BY MR. HOLKINS:										
9	Q Th	nis is an email from you dated August 24,									
LO	2020, to Dante McKay and a number of other										
11	recipients.										
12	A Uh	n-hum. (Affirmative.)									
13	Q Th	ne subject is "Apex DBHDD/DOE/COE Partner									
14	Meeting." (Correct?									
15	A Co	orrect.									
16	Q Th	is is a meeting separate from the Apex									
17	leadership o	check-in, correct?									
18	A No	ot technically, no.									
19	Sc	this Apex leadership call is monthly,									
20	and I think	it is the fourth Friday of the month.									
21	Ar	nd then quarterly this meeting is									
22	dedicated to	include DOE as well.									
23	Sc	my calendar invite for this will be									
24	monthly to t	three members from the COE team and then									
25	the DBHDD leadership team.										



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

		And	of	this	monthly	reoco	curring	cale	ndar
invit	e qu	arteı	cly	will	reflect	this	meeting	J. I	don't
know	if t	hat n	nake	es ser	nse.				
	_	⊤ ⊥ l	1	- ~-	C			!	_

- Q I think so. So once a quarter you're having a meeting with the Apex leadership team that includes DOE representatives?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. Are those representatives, at least as of the day of this email, cc'ed on this email?
- A Yes. So Cheryl Benefield is still there,
 Ashley Harris is still there, but Dr. McGiboney has
 moved on to another position, not even in DOE. He
 is not currently in DOE. But the other two, yes.
- Q Are there any additional DOE participants in this meeting not reflected in this email?
- 16 A No.
 - Q So at this point it's Cheryl Benefield -- excuse me. Cheryl Benefield and Ashley Harris participate for DOE in these meetings?
 - A That's correct.
- Q We can put this aside.
- 22 (WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-53 was
- 23 marked for identification.)
- 24 BY MR. HOLKINS:
- 25 Q You've just been handed what's been marked



1	Exhibit 53.
2	MR. HOLKINS: For the record, this is
3	GA00667127.
4	Q The title of the document is "Apex
5	Technical Assistance Quarterly Summary, " and there's
6	an image at the top of the document that reads
7	"Center of Excellence for Children's Behavioral
8	Health. This is from January to March of 2020.
9	Have you seen this document before?
10	A Yes.
11	Q What is this document?
12	A So this is me initiating if I'm reading
13	this correctly, I think this is likely the first one
14	I forwarded.
15	Oh, this is the same email.
16	I wanted a way to quickly track and then
17	report the type of technical assistance that we were
18	offering to the providers being funded to implement
19	Apex. And so that's, that's what this is.
20	I think this is I think I attached it.
21	Yep. Attached the quarter one summary.
22	So if it's quarter one, then this quarter
23	one likely doesn't mean the calendar quarter one.
24	It means like July, August, September, because we



mimic that state fiscal year.

1	So it probably is identifying all the
2	technical assistance activities that occurred in
3	those months, and then it's organized by the mode of
4	delivery, and if we did any kind of post-survey,
5	then that data is collected. And then we're
6	identifying any questions that we may want to circle
7	back to, and then identify any follow-up action
8	items or next steps.
9	Q Who do you send this quarterly summary to?
LO	A Just to our DBHDD partners.
11	Q Is this something you're still doing?
12	A Yes.
13	Q On a quarterly basis?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And these reports capture all of the
16	technical assistance that the Center of Excellence
17	is providing with respect to Apex in that quarter?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. We can put this aside.
20	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-54 was
21	marked for identification.)
22	BY MR. HOLKINS:
23	Q You've just been handed what's been marked
24	Exhibit 54.
25	Please take a moment to familiarize



yourself with the first page of the document. 1 2 (Witness reviews exhibit.) 3 0 For the record, the first page of this 4 document is an email sent May 28, 2020, to a number 5 of recipients, including yourself, Dimple Desai. The Bates-stamp on the email is 6 7 GA01743050. The subject is "Re GGA Brief, for your 8 review," and there's an attachment to the email that 9 starts with the Bates No. GA01638445, and the attachment is titled, "Impact of COVID-19 on 10 11 Children's Access to Mental Health Services in 12 Georgia, Issue Brief, June 15, 2020." 13 Ms. Desai, do you recall receiving this 14 email? 15 Α Yeah, I do. It was a long time ago, but, 16 yes, I do. 17 What is the Georgia Grant Makers Alliance? 0 18 I mean, to my understanding, it is a -- I Α 19 don't know if collaborative is the right word. 20 don't know what they define themselves as. But it's 21 a group of like I guess organizations that fund 22 different grants for, you know, initiatives 23 involving providing support to children, behavioral 24 health being one of them.

Did you provide any input on the report



Q

3

4

17

18

19

20

21

22

l that's attached to this emai

- A Yes. So I do recall reviewing it, and I do recall -- I do recall making comments, yes, I do.
 - Q And what were your comments?
- 5 A Honestly, I cannot recall. I mean I 6 remember my general feeling when I revised it.
- 7 Q What was your general feeling when you 8 reviewed it?
- 9 A There were some, some facts that weren't
 10 quite captured accurately. I think one of them, if
 11 I recall, is like the number of providers
 12 implementing Apex I think was wrong, or something
 13 like that.
- So, yeah.
- Q Anything else you can recall from your review of this document?
 - A This is silly, but I actually think in every place where the CMO is in this document, it was actually COM. I think it was little things like that, that was just like, oh, that's -- yeah.
 - Q On the subject of Care Management Organizations, do they ever join your Apex leadership calls?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Do any representatives of the Department





DIMPLE DESAI UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

March 29, 2022 219

```
I do want to, consistent with your
 1
 2
     discussion earlier, acknowledge I think our
 3
     shared stipulation that all objections except
 4
     as to form are going to be reserved until the
     trial. Correct?
 5
 6
          MS. JOHNSON: Agreed, yes.
 7
          MR. HOLKINS: Okay. I think we're off the
 8
     record.
 9
          MS. JOHNSON: Great.
10
          THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:05
11
     p.m.
12
          (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at
13
     4:05 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF GEORGIA:
4	FULTON COUNTY:
5	
6	I hereby certify that the foregoing
7	transcript of DIMPLE DESAI was taken down, as stated
8	in the caption, and the questions and answers
9	thereto were reduced by stenographic means under my
10	direction;
11	That the foregoing Pages 1 through
12	219 represent a true and correct transcript of
13	the evidence given upon said hearing;
14	And I further certify that I am not of kin
15	or counsel to the parties in this case; am not in
16	the regular employ of counsel for any of said
17	parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the
18	result of said case.
19	
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
21	subscribed my name this 1st day of April, 2022.
22	Warle L. Robern
23	
24	Wanda L. Robinson, CRR, CCR No. B-1973
25	My Commission Expires 10/11/2023



1	DISCLOSURE
2	STATE OF GEORGIA) VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF FULTON COUNTY) DIMPLE DESAI - 03/29/22 Pursuant to Article 10.B of the Rules and
4	Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting
5	of the Judicial Council of Georgia, I make the
6	following disclosure:
7	I am a Georgia certified court reporter.
8	I am here as a representative of Esquire Deposition
9	Solutions, LLC, and Esquire Deposition Solutions,
10	LLC was contacted by the offices of U.S. Attorney's
11	Office to provide court reporter services for this
12	deposition. Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC will
13	not be taking this deposition under any contract
14	that is prohibited by O.C.G.A. 9-11-28 (c).
15	Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC has no
16	contract/agreement to provide court reporter
17	services with any party to the case, or any counsel
18	in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency
19	from whom a referral might have been made to cover
20	this deposition.
21	Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC will
22	charge the usual and customary rates to all parties
23	in the case, and a financial discount will not be
24	given to any party to this litigation.



1	ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF:
2	Deponent Name: DIMPLE DESAI
3	Case Caption: United States of America vs. State of Georgia
5	Case No. : 1:16-cv-03088-ELR
6 7 8	I do hereby certify that I have read all questions propounded to me and all answers given by me on the 29th day of March 2022, taken before Wanda L. Robinson, and that:
9	1) There are no changes noted.
LO	2) The following changes are noted:
11 12 13 14 15 16	Pursuant to state rules of Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part: Any changes in form or substance which you desire to make shall be entered upon the deposition with a statement of the reason given for making them. Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below: CORRECTIONS:
18 19 20 21	Page Line Change Reason For Change
22	
23	
24	
25	



DIMPLE DESAI UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

March 29, 2022 223

1	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
2	
3	I hereby certify that I have read and examined
4	the foregoing transcript, and the same is a true and
5	accurate record of the testimony given by me. Any
6	additions or corrections that I feel are necessary,
7	I will attach on a separate sheet of paper to the
8	original transcript.
9	
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	Signature of Deponent
12	
13	I hereby certify that the individual
14	representing himself/herself to be the above-named
15	individual, appeared before me this day of
16	, 2022, and executed the above
17	certificate in my presence.
18	
19	
20	·
21	NOTARY PUBLIC
22	
23	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
24	
25	

